

SCITECH RESEARCH ORGANISATION

Volume 4, Issue 4 Published online: August 08, 2015

Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics www.scitecresearch.com/journals

> An algebraic proof of Fermat's last theorem

James E. Joseph Department of Mathematics Howard University Washington, DC 20059.

## Abstract:

In 1995, A, Wiles announced, using cyclic groups, a proof of Fermat's Last Theorem, which is stated as follows: If  $\pi$  is an odd prime and x, y, z are relatively prime positive integers, then  $z^{\pi} \neq x^{\pi} + y^{\pi}$ . In this note, a proof of this theorem is offered, using elementary Algebra. It is proved that if  $\pi$  is an odd prime and x, y, z are positive inyegera satisfying  $z^{\pi} = x^{\pi} + y^{\pi}$ , then x, y, and z are each divisible by  $\pi$ .

Key words and phrases: Fermat.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11Yxx.

The special case  $z^4 = x^4 + y^4$  is impossible for relatively prime integers x, y, z [1]; it is only necessary to show that if x, y, z, are relatively prime positive integers,  $\pi$  is an odd prime,  $z^{\pi} \neq x^{\pi} + y^{\pi}$ . If x and  $\pi$  are positive integers, the notation  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$  will mean x is divisible by  $\pi$ . Let  $C(\pi.k)$  represent the  $k^{th}$  coefficient of the binomial expansion of  $(x+y)^{\pi}$ ; if  $\pi$  is prime, then  $C(\pi,k) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$  for every  $1 < k < \pi$ .

**Theorem 1.** If x, y, z are positive integers,  $\pi$  an odd prime and  $x^{\pi} + y^{\pi} = z^{\pi}$ , then  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ ,  $y \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ ,  $z \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ .

Theorem 1 is arrived at as a result of two Lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** If x, y, z are positive integers,  $\pi$  an odd prime, and  $z^{\pi} = x^{\pi} + y^{\pi}$ , then

- (1)  $(x+y)^{\pi} z^{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi};$
- (2)  $(z-x)^{\pi} y^{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi};$
- (3)  $(z-y)^{\pi} x^{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi};$
- (4)  $x+y-z \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ ;
- (5)  $(x+y)^{\pi} z^{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2};$
- (6)  $(z-x)^{\pi} y^{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2};$
- (7)  $(z-y)^{\pi} x^{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2};$

(8)  $x + y - z \neq 0$ .

Proof. Using the equation  $z^{\pi} = x^{\pi} + y^{\pi}$ , statemente (1), (2), and (3) are obvious; (4), (5), (6), and (7) come from the equations

$$(eq.1) \ (x+y)^{\pi} - z^{\pi} - (x+y-z)^{\pi} = \sum_{1}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k)(x+y-z)^{\pi-k} z^{k};$$
  

$$(eq.2) \ (z-y)^{\pi} - x^{\pi} - (z-x-y)^{\pi} = \sum_{1}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k)(z-x-y)^{\pi-k} x^{k};$$
  

$$(eq.3) \ (z-x)^{\pi} - y^{\pi} - (z-x-y)^{\pi} = \sum_{1}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k)(z-x-y)^{\pi-k} y^{k};$$

and the fundamental theorem of Arithmetic; (8) is obvious. leading to xy = 0.

**Lemma 2.** If  $\pi$  is an odd prime and x, y, z are positive integers such that  $z^{\pi} = x^{\pi} + y^{\pi}$ , then

- (1)  $xy \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ ;
- (2)  $yz \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi};$
- (3)  $xz \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ .

Proof.

$$(x+y)^{\pi} - z^{\pi} = \sum_{1}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k) x^{\pi-k} y^{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{2}};$$

there is a k with  $C(\pi,k)x^{\pi-k}y^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$ ; order  $C(\pi,k)x^{\pi-k}y^k$  by inclusion and there exists k such that  $C(\pi,k)x^{\pi-k}y^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$ ;

$$(F1) \ x^{\pi-k} y^k \equiv 0 \ (mod \ \pi)$$

multiplying by  $x^k y^{\pi-k}$  gives  $(xy)^{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$  which implies

$$(F1^*) \quad xy \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}.$$
$$(z-y)^{\pi} - x^{\pi} = \sum_{k=1}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k) (-1)^k y^{\pi-k} z^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2};$$

there is a k with  $C(\pi,k)y^{\pi-k}z^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$ ; order  $C(\pi,k)y^{\pi-k}z^k$  by inclusion and there exists k such that  $C(\pi,k)y^{\pi-k}z^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$ ;

(F2) 
$$y^{\pi-k}z^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi};$$

multiplying by  $y^k z^{\pi-k}$  gives  $(yz)^{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$  which implies

$$(F2^*) \quad yz \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}.$$
$$(z-x)^{\pi} - x^{\pi} = \sum_{1}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k) (-1)^k x^{\pi-k} z^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2};$$

there is a k with  $C(\pi,k)x^{\pi-k}z^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$ ; order  $C(\pi,k)x^{\pi-k}z^k$  by inclusion and there exists k such that  $C(\pi,k)x^{\pi-k}z^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$ ;

$$(F3) x^{\pi-k} z^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi};$$

multiplying by  $x^k z^{\pi-k}$  gives  $(xz)^{\pi} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$  which implies

$$(F3^*) \quad xz \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}.$$

The last three equivalences  $(F1^*), (F2^*), (F3^*)$ , along with  $x + y - z \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$  complete the proof.

**Fermat's Last Theorem.** If  $\pi$  is an odd prime and x, y, z are relatively prime positive integers, then  $z^{\pi} \neq x^{\pi} + y^{\pi}$ .

Proof. If  $\pi$  is an odd prime, then  $z \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ ;  $y \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi} x \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ .

## References

[1] H. Edwards, *Fermat's Last Theorem: A Genetic Introduction to Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1977).

[2] A. Wiles, Modular ellipic eurves and Fermat's Last Theorem, Ann. Math. 141 (1995), 443-551.

[3] A. Wiles and R. Taylor, *Ring-theoretic properties of certain Heche algebras*, Ann. Math. 141 (1995), 553-573.\*\*\*\*\*Order  $C(\pi,k)x^{\pi-k}y^k$  by magnitude and there exists k such that  $C(\pi,k)x^{\pi-k}y^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$  \*\*\*\*Wiles and R. Taylor, Ring-theoretic properties of certain Heche algebras, Ann. Math. 141 (1995), 553-573. \*\*\*\*

$$(x+y)^{\pi} - z^{\pi} = \sum_{0}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k)(x+y-z)^{\pi-k} z^{k};$$

$$(x+y)^{\pi} - z^{\pi} = \sum_{0}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k)(x+y-z)^{\pi-k} z^{k};$$

$$(x+y-z)^{\pi} + \pi(x+y-z)z^{\pi-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2};$$

$$(x+y-z)^{\pi-2}+z^{\pi-1}\equiv 0 \ (mod \ \pi);$$

$$z^{\pi-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi};$$

$$z \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$$
.

$$(z-y)^{\pi} - x^{\pi} = \sum_{0}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k)(z-x-y)^{\pi-k} x^{k};$$

$$(z-x)^{\pi} - y^{\pi} = \sum_{0}^{\pi-1} C(\pi,k)(z-x-y)^{\pi-k} x^{k};$$

$$(z-x-y)^{\pi} + \pi(z-x-y)x^{\pi-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2};$$

$$(z - x - y)^{\pi - 2} + x^{\pi - 1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi};$$

$$x^{\pi-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi};$$

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$$
.