

Volume 10, Issue 2

Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics www.scitecresearch.com/journals

Indexed Absolute Riesz Summability Factor Using Delta Quasi Monotone Sequence

P.Palo¹, B.P.Padhy², P.Samanta³, M.Misra⁴ and U.K.Misra⁵

¹Department of Mathematics, Khetramohan College, Narendrapur, Ganjam, Odisha, INDIA.

²Department of Mathematics, School of Applied Sciences, KIIT University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, INDIA

³P.G.Department of Mathematics, Berhampur University, Bhanjabihar, Odisha, INDIA

⁴Department of Mathematics, B.A.College, Berhampur, Odisha, INDIA.

⁵Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Science and Technology, Pallur Hills,

Berhampur, Odisha, INDIA.

Abstract.

A result concerning absolute indexed Riesz Summability factor of an infinite series using δ -quasi monotone sequence has been established.

Keywords: Quasi-increasing; Quasi - f - power increasing; δ - Quasi monotone; index absolute Summability; summability factor.

AMS Classification No: 40A05, 40D15, 40F05

1. Introduction

We ask that authors follow simple guidelines. In essence, we ask you to make your paper look exactly like this document. A sequence (a_n) of positive numbers is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive sequence (b_n) and two positive constants A and B such that

(1.1)
$$Ab_n \le a_n \le Bb_n$$
, for all $n \in N$.

It is said to be quasi- β -power increasing, if there exists a constant K depending upon β with $K \ge 1$ such that

(1.2)
$$K n^{\beta} a_{n} \ge m^{\beta} a_{m} ,$$

for all $n \ge m$. Particularly, if $\beta = 0$, then (a_n) is a quasi-increasing sequence. It is clear that for any nonnegative β , every almost increasing sequence is a quasi- β -power increasing sequence. But the converse is not true in general, as $(n^{-\beta})$ is quasi- β -power increasing but not almost increasing.

Let $f = (f_n)$ be a positive sequence of numbers. Then the positive sequence (a_n) is said to be quasi- f power increasing, if there exists a constant K depending upon f with $K \ge 1$ such that

$$K f_n a_n \ge f_m a_m$$

for $n \ge m \ge 1$. Clearly, if (a_n) is a quasi- f -power increasing sequence, then the $(a_n f_n)$ is a quasi- increasing sequence.

Let $\delta = (\delta_n)$ be a positive sequence of numbers. Then the positive sequence (a_n) is said to be δ -quasi monotone, $a_n \to 0$, $a_n > 0$ ultimately and $\Delta d_n \ge -\delta_n$, where $\Delta d_n = d_n - d_{n-1}$.

Let $\sum a_n$ be an infinite series with sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$. Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{\nu} \to \infty$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

Then the sequence-to-sequence transformation

(1.4)
$$T_{n} = \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} p_{\nu} s_{\nu} , P_{n} \neq 0,$$

defines the (\overline{N}, p_n) - mean of the sequence (s_n) generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) . The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \ge 1$, if

(1.5)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left|T_n - T_{n-1}\right|^k < \infty .$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\left|\overline{N}, p_n; \delta\right|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$, if

(1.6)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\partial k+k-1} \left|T_n - T_{n-1}\right|^k < \infty .$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\overline{N}, p_n, \alpha_n(\delta)|_k$, $k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$, if

(1.7)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n)^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |T_n - T_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

For any real number μ_{j} , the series Σa_n is said to be summable by the summability method $|\overline{N}, p_n, \alpha_n(\delta), \mu|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} \left|T_n - T_{n-1}\right|^k < \infty.$$

For $\mu = 1$, the summability method $|\overline{N}, p_n, \alpha_n(\delta), \mu|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$, reduces to the method $|\overline{N}, p_n, \alpha_n(\delta)|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$,

2. Known Theorems

Dealing with quasi- f - power increasing sequence, Palo et al[4] prove the following theorem.

2.1. Theorem

(1.8)

Let $f = (f_n) = (n^{\beta} (\log n)^{\gamma})$ be a sequence and (X_n) be a quasi- f -power sequence.

Let (λ_n) a sequence of constants such that

(2.1.1)
$$\lambda_n \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

(2.1.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n X_n |\Delta| |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty$$

$$(2.1.3) \qquad \qquad \left|\lambda_n\right| X_n = O(1)$$

(2.1.4)
$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{P_n}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} = O\left((\alpha_m)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{P_n}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \right),$$

(2.1.5)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\alpha_{n}\right)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{X_{n}^{k-1}} = O(X_{m}),$$

(2.1.6)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\alpha_{n}\right)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{nX_{n}^{k-1}} = O\left(X_{m}\right),$$

where (t_n) is the *n* th (C,1) mean of the sequence (na_n) .

Then the series
$$\sum a_n \lambda_n$$
 is summable $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \alpha_n(\delta) \right|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0.$

Dealing with quasi- δ - quasi monotone sequence, Sarangi et al. [4] Proved the following theorem:

2.2. Theorem

Let $f = (f_n) = (n^{\beta} (\log n)^{\gamma})$ be a sequence and (X_n) be a quasi- f -power sequence. Suppose also that there exists a sequence of numbers (A_n) such that it is δ - quasi - monotone with

(2.2.1)
$$\sum n \,\delta_n \,X_n < \infty$$

(2.2.2) $\Delta A_n \leq \delta_n \quad \text{for all } n \,.$

Let (λ_n) a sequence of constants such that

Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics(JPRM) ISSN: 2395-0218

(2.2.3)
$$\lambda_n \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

$$|\lambda_n|X_n = O(1),$$

and

(2.2.5)
$$\left|\Delta\lambda_{n}\right| \leq \left|A_{n}\right| \text{ for all } n.$$

Then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $\left| \overline{N}, p_n; \sigma, \mu \right|_k$, $k \ge 1, \sigma \ge 0$., if

(2.2.6)
$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\sigma k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} = O\left(\frac{P_{\nu}}{p_{\nu}}\right)^{\sigma k-1},$$

(2.2.7)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\mu(\sigma k+k-1)-k} \frac{|t_n|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m),$$

(2.2.8)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\mu(\sigma k+k-1)-k+1} \frac{\left|t_n\right|^k}{nX_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m),$$

where (t_n) is the *n* th (C,1) mean of the sequence $(n a_n)$.

However, extending to summability method $|\overline{N}, p_n, \alpha_n(\delta), \mu|_k, k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$, in this paper, we prove the following theorem.

3. Theorem

Let $f = (f_n) = (n^{\beta} (\log n)^{\gamma})$ be a sequence and (X_n) be a quasi- f -power sequence. Suppose also that there exists a sequence of numbers (A_n) such that it is δ - quasi - monotone with

$$(3.1) \qquad \qquad \sum n \, \delta_n \, X_n < \infty$$

$$\Delta A_n \le \delta_n \quad \text{for all } n \,.$$

Let (λ_n) a sequence of constants such that

$$(3.3) \lambda_n \to 0, as \ n \to \infty,$$

$$(3.4) |\lambda_n| X_n = O(1),$$

and

$$(3.5) $\left| \Delta \lambda_n \right| \le \left| A_n \right| ext{ for all } n$$$

(3.6)
$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} = O\left((\alpha_m)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1}\right),$$

(3.7)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{|t_n|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m),$$

(3.8)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)} \frac{|t_n|^k}{nX_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m),$$

where (t_n) is the *n* th (C,1) mean of the sequence (na_n) .

Then the series
$$\sum a_n \lambda_n$$
 is summable $\left| \overline{N}, p_n, \alpha_n(\delta) \right|_k$, $k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$.

In order to prove the theorem we require the following lemma.

4. Lemma

Let $f = (f_n) = (n^{\beta} (\log n)^{\gamma}), 0 \le \beta < 1, \gamma \ge 0$ be a sequence and (X_n) be a quasi - f - power increasing sequence. Let (A_n) be a sequence of numbers such that it is δ - quasi - monotone satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). then

$$(4.1) n X_n |A_n| = O(1)$$

and

(4.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} X_{n} |A_{n}| < \infty, \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

4.1. Proof Of The Lemma

As $A_n \to 0$ and $n^{\beta} (\log n)^{\gamma} X_n$ is non-decreasing, we have

$$\begin{split} n X_n |A_n| &= n^{1-\beta} \left(\log n \right)^{-\gamma} \left(n^{\beta} \left(\log n \right)^{\gamma} X_n \right)_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \Delta |A_{\nu}| \\ &= O(1) n^{1-\beta} \left(\log n \right)^{\gamma} n \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \nu^{\beta} \left(\log \nu \right)^{\gamma} |X_{\nu}| \Delta A_{\nu}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \nu^{1-\beta} \left(\log \nu \right)^{-\gamma} |\nu^{\beta} \left(\log \nu \right)^{\gamma} |X_{\nu}| \Delta A_{\nu}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \nu |X_{\nu}| \Delta A_{\nu}|. \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \nu |X_{\nu}| |\Delta A_{\nu}|. \\ &= O(1) \end{split}$$

This establishes (4.1).

Next

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} X_n \mid A_n \mid = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} X_r \right) \Delta \mid A_n \mid + \mid A_m \mid \left(\sum_{r=1}^{m} X_r \right)$$

Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics(JPRM) ISSN: 2395-0218

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} r^{-\beta} (\log r)^{-\gamma} r^{\beta} (\log r)^{\gamma} X_{r} \right) \Delta |A_{n}| + O(1) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{m} r^{-\beta} (\log r)^{-\gamma} r^{\beta} (\log r)^{\gamma} X_{r} \right) A_{m} |$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(n^{\beta} (\log n)^{\gamma} X_{n} \right) \Delta |A_{n}| \sum_{r=1}^{n} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} (\log r)^{-\gamma} r^{\epsilon} + O(1) m^{\beta} X_{m} |A_{m}| (\log m)^{\gamma} \sum_{r=1}^{m} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} (\log r)^{-\gamma} r^{\epsilon}, \epsilon < 1 - \beta.$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(n^{\beta} (\log n)^{\gamma} X_{n} \right) \Delta |A_{n}| n^{\epsilon} (\log n)^{-\gamma} \sum_{r=1}^{n} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} + O(1) m^{\beta} X_{m} |A_{m}| (\log m)^{\gamma} m^{\epsilon} (\log m)^{-\gamma} \sum_{r=1}^{m} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} + O(1) m^{\beta} X_{m} |A_{m}| (\log m)^{\gamma} m^{\epsilon} (\log m)^{-\gamma} \sum_{r=1}^{m} r^{-\beta-\epsilon} = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{\beta+\epsilon} X_{n} \Delta |A_{n}| \left(\prod_{1}^{n} u^{-\beta-\epsilon} du \right) + O(1) m^{\beta+\epsilon} X_{m} |A_{m}| \left(\prod_{1}^{m} u^{-\beta-\epsilon} du \right) = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} n X_{n} \Delta |A_{n}| + O(1) m X_{m} |A_{m}|$$

This establishes (4.2).

5. Proof Of The Theorem

Let (T_n) be the sequence of (\overline{N}, p_n) mean of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \lambda_n$, then

$$T_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu \sum_{r=0}^\nu a_r \lambda_r$$
$$= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (P_n - P_{\nu-1}) a_\nu \lambda_\nu$$

Hence for $n \ge 1$

$$T_{n} - T_{n-1} = \frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} P_{\nu-1}a_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu}$$
$$= \frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu a_{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{\nu}P_{\nu-1}\lambda_{\nu}\right)$$

Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics(JPRM) ISSN: 2395-0218

$$=\frac{(n+1)}{n}\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}}t_{n}\lambda_{n}+\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1}p_{\nu-1}t_{\nu}\lambda_{\nu}\frac{\nu+1}{\nu}+\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1}P_{\nu}t_{\nu}\frac{\nu+1}{\nu}\Delta\lambda_{\nu} +\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1}P_{\nu}t_{\nu}\frac{\lambda_{\nu+1}}{\nu}$$

$$= T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3} + T_{n4} \text{ (say)}.$$

In order to prove the theorem ,using Minkowski's inequality it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} |T_{nj}| < \infty , j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Applying H \ddot{o} lder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} \left|T_{n,1}\right|^{k} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} \left|\frac{(n+1)}{n} \frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}} t_{n} \lambda_{n}\right|^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{x_{n}^{k-1}} \left(x_{n} \left|\lambda_{n}\right|\right)^{k-1} \left|\lambda_{n}\right| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{x_{n}^{k-1}} \left|\lambda_{n}\right| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (\alpha_{\nu})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{\nu}}{P_{\nu}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{\left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k}}{x_{\nu}^{k}-1}\right) \Delta \left|\lambda_{n}\right| \\ &+ O(1) \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (\alpha_{\nu})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{\nu}}{P_{\nu}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{\left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k}}{x_{\nu}^{k}-1}\right) \left|\lambda_{m}\right| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} X_{n} \left|A_{n}\right| + O(1) X_{m} \left|\lambda_{m}\right| \end{split}$$

= O(1)

Next,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} \left|T_{n,2}\right|^k \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} \left|\frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu-1} t_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \frac{(\nu+1)}{\nu}\right|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu-1} |t_{\nu}|^k |\lambda_{\nu}|^k \left(\frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu}\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} p_{\nu-1} |t_{\nu}|^k |\lambda_{\nu}|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} (\alpha_n)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (\alpha_{\nu})^k \mu \left(\frac{P_{\nu}}{p_{\nu}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} |t_{\nu}|^k |\lambda_{\nu}|^k \\ &= O(1) \end{split}$$

Again,

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} \left|T_{n,3}\right|^{k} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} \left|\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu} t_{\nu} \frac{(\nu+1)}{\nu} \Delta \lambda_{\nu}\right|^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^{k}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu}^{k} \frac{\left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k}}{X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \left|\Delta \lambda_{\nu}\right| \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} X_{\nu} \left|\Delta \lambda_{\nu}\right|\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} p_{\nu}^{k} \frac{\left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k}}{X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \left|\Delta \lambda_{\nu}\right| \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^{k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (\alpha_{\nu})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{\nu}}{p_{\nu}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)} \frac{\left|t_{\nu}\right|^{k}}{\nu X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \left(\nu \left|\Delta \lambda_{\nu}\right|\right) \\ &= O(1) \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\nu} (\alpha_{\nu})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{r}}{P_{\nu}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)}\right) \frac{\left|t_{r}\right|^{k}}{\nu X_{\nu}^{k-1}} \left(\nu \left|\Delta \lambda_{\nu}\right|\right) \end{split}$$

 $Volume \ 10, \ Issue \ 2 \ available \ at \ \underline{www.scitecresearch.com/journals/index.php/jprm}$

Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics(JPRM) ISSN: 2395-0218 $+ O(1) \left(m \left| \Delta \lambda_m \right| \right) \sum_{r=1}^m (\alpha_r)^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_r}{P_r} \right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)}$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} X_{\nu} \left(-|\Delta \lambda_{\nu}| + (\nu+1)|\Delta ||\Delta \lambda_{\nu}| \right) + O(1)mX_{m} |\Delta \lambda_{m}|$$

= $O(1)$

Finally,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} \left| T_{n,4} \right|^{k} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{\mu(k-1)} \left| \frac{P_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} P_{\nu} t_{\nu} \frac{\lambda_{\nu+1}}{\nu} \right|^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^{k}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{\nu}}{\nu} |t_{\nu}|^{k} |\lambda_{\nu}|^{k} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{\nu}}{\nu}\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \frac{P_{\nu}}{\nu} |t_{\nu}|^{k} |\lambda_{\nu}|^{k} \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} (\alpha_{n})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (\alpha_{\nu})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{\nu}}{p_{\nu}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)} \frac{|t_{\nu}|^{k}}{\nu X_{\nu}^{k-1}} (X_{\nu} |\lambda_{\nu}|)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} (\alpha_{r})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{r}}{p_{r}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)} \frac{|t_{r}|^{k}}{r X_{r}^{k-1}} \right\} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu}| \\ &+ O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} (\alpha_{r})^{k\mu} \left(\frac{P_{r}}{p_{r}}\right)^{(\mu-1)(k-1)} \frac{|t_{\nu}|^{k}}{r X_{r}^{k-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} X_{\nu} |\Delta \lambda_{\nu}| + O(1) m X_{m} |\Delta \lambda_{m}| \end{split}$$

= O(1)

This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] Bor,H. (1986). A Note on two summability methods, Proc.Amer. Math. Soc. 98, 81-84.
- [2] Bor, H, Debnath, L (2004). Quasi β power increasing sequences, *International journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 44, 2371-2376.
- [3] Leinder, L (2006). A recent note on absolute Riesz summability factors J.Ineq. Pure and Appl.Math.7(2), article-44.
- [4] Sarangi, S, Dash, M, Paikray, S.K, Mira, M and Misra, U.K, (2015). An application of δ quasi monotone sequence, *Global journal of pure and applied mathematics*, 11(5), 2813-2823.
- [5] Paikray, S.K., Jati, R.K, Misra, U.K and Sahoo, N.C, (2013). Absolute Indexed Summability factor of an infinite series using f-power increasing sequences, *Engg. Mathematics letters*, 2(1), 56-66.
- [6] Sulaiman, W.T, (2006). Extension on absolute summability factors, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 322, 1224-1230.
- [7] Sulaiman, W.T, (2007). A recent note on absolute Riesz summability factors of an infinite series J.Appl. Functional Analysis, 7(4), 381-387.