

Estimates of Fekete-Szegő Functional of a Subclass of Analytic and Bi-Univalent Functions by Means of Chebyshev Polynomials

AYINLA, Rasheed O.

Department of Statistics and Mathematical Sciences,
P.M.B 1530,
Kwara State University,
Malete.
Email: rasheed.ayinla@kwasu.edu.ng

Received: November 18, 2020; Accepted: December 1, 2020; Published: February 24, 2021

Copyright © 2021 by author(s) and Scitech Research Organisation(SRO).

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Abstract

In this work, a new subclass $C_{\Phi}(\gamma, \sigma, n, t)$ of analytic and bi-univalent functions is defined by subordination principle and investigated. The initial coefficient bounds and the upper estimates of the Fekete-Szegő functional were obtained using Chebyshev polynomials.

Keywords

Analytic functions, Salagean differential operator and Chebyshev polynomial.

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and satisfy the condition $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Let S denote the subclass of A consisting of functions univalent in E . A function $f(z) \in S$ is said to be starlike in the unit disk if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0, \quad z \in E \quad (1.2)$$

Also, a function $f(z) \in S$ is said to be convex in the unit disk if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > 0, \quad z \in E \quad (1.3)$$

A function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in E , written as

$$f(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in E$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$, analytic in E with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1, \quad z \in E$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)), \quad z \in E$$

If the function g is univalent in E ,

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Rightarrow f(0) = g(0)$$

and

$$f(E) \subset g(E)$$

It is well known (see Duren [6]) that every function $f \in S$ has an inverse map f^{-1} , defined by $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$, $z \in E$ and $f(f^{-1}(\omega)) = \omega$, ($|\omega| < r_0(f)$; $r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$), where

$$f^{-1}(\omega) = \omega - a_2\omega^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)\omega^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)\omega^4 + \dots \quad (1.4)$$

A function $f \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in E if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in E .

Let Φ denote the class of bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk E . Lewin [9] for $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) showed that $|a_2| < 1.51$ for every $f \in \Phi$. Brannan and Clunie [3] conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for bi-starlike functions and $|a_2| \leq 1$ for bi-convex functions.

Also, Brannan and Taha [4] introduced certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions called bi-starlike function of order α denoted by $S_{\Phi}(\alpha)$ and bi-convex function of order α denoted by $C_{\Phi}(\alpha)$ corresponding to the classes of functions $S^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ respectively. For a further historical account of functions in the class Φ , (see [2],[5], [13] and [14]).

Let $D^n : A \rightarrow A$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D^1 f(z) &= z f'(z) \\ D^n f(z) &= z [D^{n-1} f(z)]' \end{aligned}$$

This is referred to as Salagean differential operator [12]. Fekete and Szegő [7] introduced the generalised functional $a_3 - \lambda a_2^2$, where λ is a real number. Keogh and Merkes [8] studied the Fekete-Szegő problem for the classes S^* and K . Ma and Minda [10] bring together various subclasses of starlike and convex functions for which either the quantity $\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}$ or $1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)}$ has positive real part in the unit disk. The results of Ali et al. [1] generalized the results of Brannan and Taha [4] using subordination.

Chebyshev polynomials plays a considerable role in numerical analysis and mathematical physics. It is well-known that the Chebyshev polynomials are of four kinds, but in this paper the second kind shall be considered.

The second kind of Chebyshev polynomials is defined as

$$U_n(t) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\lambda}{\sin \lambda} \quad (1.5)$$

$U_n(t)$ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$U_n(t) = 2tU_{n-1}(t) - U_{n-2}(t), \quad n \geq 2$$

Few examples of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind are

$$U_0(t) = 1, \quad U_1(t) = 2t, \quad U_2(t) = 4t^2 - 1, \quad U_3(t) = 8t^3 - 4t, \dots [11] \quad (1.6)$$

The generating function for $U_n(t)$ is given by

$$H(z, t) = \frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(t)z^n, \quad z \in E \quad (1.7)$$

For $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, $\sigma \in (\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, a function $f(z) \in \Phi$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $C_{\Phi}(\gamma, \sigma, n, t)$ if the following subordination holds for all $z, \omega \in E$

$$(1 - e^{-2i\sigma}\gamma^2 z^2) \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{z} \prec H(z, t) = \frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$(1 - e^{-2i\sigma}\gamma^2 \omega^2) \frac{D^{n+1}g(\omega)}{\omega} \prec H(\omega, t) = \frac{1}{1 - 2t\omega + \omega^2} \quad (1.9)$$

where $g = f^{-1}$ is defined by

$$f^{-1}(\omega) = \omega - a_2\omega^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)\omega^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)\omega^4 + \dots$$

If $t = \cos \lambda$ where $\lambda \in (\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3})$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} H(z, t) &= \frac{1}{1 - 2 \cos \lambda z + z^2} = (1 - 2 \cos \lambda z + z^2)^{-1} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n+1)\lambda}{\sin \lambda} z^n, \quad z \in E \\ H(z, t) &= 1 + U_1(t)z + U_2(t)z^2 + U_3(t)z^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Also,

$$H(\omega, t) = U_1(t)\omega + U_2(t)\omega^2 + U_3(t)\omega^3 + \dots \quad (1.11)$$

2 Preliminary Lemmas

We need the following lemma to prove our results.

Let P denote the class of Caratheodory functions. $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + 3_3z^3 + \dots$ ($z \in E$) which are analytic and satisfy $p(0) = 1$ and $\Re p(z) > 0$ Let $p \in P$. Then

$$|p_k| \leq 2 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}) \quad [6] \quad (2.1)$$

3 Main Results

Let $f(z) \in C_{\Phi}(\gamma, \sigma, n, t)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, $\sigma \in (\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{t^2(2t + \gamma^2)}{|3^{n+1} \cdot t^2 - 2^{2n}(4t^2 - 1)|}} \quad (3.1)$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{t^2}{2^{2n}} + \frac{2t}{3^{n+1}} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof

Let the function $f(z) \in \Phi$ given by (1.1) be in the class $C_{\Phi}(\gamma, \sigma, n, t)$.

From (1.8) and (1.9)

$$(1 - e^{-2i\sigma\gamma^2 z^2}) \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{z} = 1 + U_1(t)r(z) + U_2(t)r^2(z) + \dots \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$(1 - e^{-2i\sigma\gamma^2 \omega^2}) \frac{D^{n+1}g(\omega)}{\omega} = 1 + U_1(t)s(\omega) + U_2(t)s^2(\omega) + \dots \quad (3.4)$$

For some analytic functions $r(z)$ and $s(\omega)$

$$r(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots \quad z \in E \quad (3.5)$$

$$s(\omega) = d_1 \omega + d_2 \omega^2 + d_3 \omega^3 + \dots \quad z \in E \quad (3.6)$$

such that $r(0) = s(0) = 0$, $|r(z)| < 1$ and $|s(\omega)| < 1$. It is well known that if $|r(z)| < 1$ and $|s(\omega)| < 1$ then

$$|c_j| \leq 1 \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$|d_j| \leq 1 \quad (3.8)$$

But,

$$(1 - e^{-2i\sigma\gamma^2 z^2}) \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{z} = 1 + 2^{n+1}a_2 z + (3^{n+1}a_3 - e^{-2i\sigma\gamma^2}) z^2 + (4^{n+1}a_4 - 2^{n+1}e^{-2i\sigma\gamma^2}a_2) z^3 + \dots \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6)

$$(1 - e^{-2i\sigma\gamma^2 z^2}) \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{z} = 1 + U_1(t)c_1 z + [U_1(t)c_2 + U_2(t)c_1^2] z^2 + [U_1(t)c_3 + U_2(t)2c_1c_2 + c_1^3] z^3 + \dots \quad (3.10)$$

$$(1 - e^{-2i\sigma\gamma^2 \omega^2}) \frac{D^{n+1}g(\omega)}{\omega} = 1 + U_1(t)d_1 \omega + [U_1(t)d_2 + U_2(t)d_1^2] \omega^2 + [U_1(t)d_3 + U_2(t)2d_1d_2 + d_1^3] \omega^3 + \dots \quad (3.11)$$

Equating coefficients in (3.9) and (3.10) give

$$2^{n+1}a_2 z = U_1(t)c_1 \quad (3.12)$$

$$3^{n+1}a_3 - e^{-2i\sigma\gamma^2} = U_1(t)c_2 + U_2(t)c_1^2 \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$-2^{n+1}a_2 = U_1(t)d_1 \quad (3.14)$$

$$3^{n+1}(2a_2^2 - a_3) - e^{-2i\sigma\gamma^2} = U_1(t)d_2 + U_2(t)d_1^2 \quad (3.15)$$

Adding (3.12) and (3.14) give

$$2^{n+1}a_2 + (-2^{n+1}a_2) = U_1(t)c_1 + U_1(t)d_1 \quad (3.16)$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = -d_1 \quad (3.17)$$

$$c_1^2 = d_1^2 \quad (3.18)$$

Squaring (3.12), (3.14) and adding the new equations and simplifying give

$$a_2^2 = \frac{U_1(t)(c_1^2 + d_1^2)}{2^{2n+3}} \quad (3.19)$$

Also, adding (3.13),(3.15) and simplifying give

$$a_2^2 = \frac{U_1^3(t)(c_2 + d_2) + 2U_1^2(t)e^{-2i\sigma}\gamma^2}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}U_1^2(t) - 2^{2n+3}U_2(t)} \quad (3.20)$$

Applying (1.6) in (3.20) and simplifying gives

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{t^2(2t + \gamma^2)}{|3^{n+1} \cdot t^2 - 2^{2n}(4t^2 - 1)|}} \quad (3.21)$$

Subtracting (3.15) from (3.13) gives

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{U_1(t)(c_2 - d_2)}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}} \quad (3.22)$$

putting (3.19) in (3.22) gives

$$a_3 = \frac{U_1^2(t)(c_1^2 + d_1^2)}{2^{2n+3}} + \frac{U_1(t)(c_2 - d_2)}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}} \quad (3.23)$$

Applying (1.6),(3.6),(3.7) in (3.23) gives

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{t^2}{2^{2n}} + \frac{2t}{3^{n+1}} \quad (3.24)$$

which coincides with the result in [5].

Remark. For $n = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$, the following consequence is given as follow

Corollary 1. For $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Let the function $f \in \Phi$ given by (1.1) be in the class $C_{\Phi}(\gamma, \sigma, n, t)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{t\sqrt{2t+1}}{\sqrt{|1-t^2|}} \quad (3.25)$$

Corollary 2. For $n = 0$

$$|a_3| \leq t^2 + \frac{2t}{3} \quad (3.26)$$

which coincides with the result in [5]. Let $f(z) \in C_{\Phi}(\gamma, \sigma, n, t)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, $\sigma \in (\frac{-\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then for any real number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 2|1 - \mu| \frac{(2t^3 + t^2\gamma^2)}{|3^{n+1} \cdot t^2 - 2^{2n}(4t^2 - 1)|} & \text{if } |1 - \mu| \frac{(2t^3 + t^2\gamma^2)}{|3^{n+1}t^2 - 2^{2n}(4t^2 - 1)|} \geq \frac{2t}{3^{n+1}} \\ \frac{4t}{3^{n+1}} & \text{if } |1 - \mu| \frac{(2t^3 + t^2\gamma^2)}{|3^{n+1}t^2 - 2^{2n}(4t^2)|} \leq \frac{2t}{3^{n+1}} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Substituting (3.22)

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = a_2^2 + \frac{U_1(t)(c_2 - d_2)}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}} - \mu a_2^2$$

Applying (3.20) and simplifying further give

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{U_1(t)(c_2 - d_2)}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}} + (1 - \mu) \frac{[U_1^3(t)(c_2 + d_2) + 2U_1^2(t)e^{-2i\sigma}\gamma^2]}{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}U_1^2(t) - 2^{2n+3}U_2(t)} \quad (3.27)$$

Applying (1.6) in (3.27) gives

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2t}{3^{n+1}} + |1 - \mu| \frac{t^2(2t + \gamma^2)}{|3^{n+1} \cdot t^2 - 2^{2n}(4t^2 - 1)|} \quad (3.28)$$

If

$$|1 - \mu| \frac{t^2(2t + \gamma^2)}{|3^{n+1} \cdot t^2 - 2^{2n}(4t^2 - 1)|} \geq \frac{2t}{3^{n+1}}$$

then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq 2|1 - \mu| \frac{t^2(2t + \gamma^2)}{|3^{n+1} \cdot t^2 - 2^{2n}(4t^2 - 1)|} \quad (3.29)$$

Also, if

$$|1 - \mu| \frac{t^2(2t + \gamma^2)}{|3^{n+1} \cdot t^2 - 2^{2n}(4t^2 - 1)|} \leq \frac{2t}{3^{n+1}}$$

then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{4t}{3^{n+1}} \quad (3.30)$$

References

- [1] Ali, R.M., Lee, S.K. Ravichandran, V. & Supramaniam, S. (2012). Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 25(3), 344-351.
- [2] Altinkaya, Ş. & Yalçın, S. (2017). On the Chebyshev polynomial coefficient problem of some subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Gulf Journal of Mathematics*, 5(3), 34-40.
- [3] Brannan, D.A. & Clunie J.G. (1980). Aspects of contemporary complex analysis. Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute, New York
- [4] Brannan, D.A. & Taha, T.S. (1986). On some classes of bi-univalent functions. *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai Mathematica*, 31(2), 70-77.
- [5] Bulut, S., Magesh, N. & Balaji, K. (2017). Initial bounds for analytic and bi-univalent functions by means of Chebyshev polynomials. *Journal of Classical Analysis*, 11(1), 81-89.
- [6] Duren, P.L. (1983). *Univalent Functions*. New York: Springer-Verlag, Inc.
- [7] Fekete, M. & Szegő, G. (1933). Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte functionen. *Journal of London Mathematical Society*, 8, 85-89.
- [8] Keogh, F. R. & Merkes, E.P. (1969). A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, *Proceedings of America Mathematical Society*, 20, 8-12.
- [9] Lewin, M. (1967). On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 18, 63-68.
- [10] Ma, W. & Minda, D. (1994). A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Complex Analysis*, Nankai Institute of Mathematical International Press China, 157-169.
- [11] Mason, J.C. & Handscomb, D.C. (2003). *Chebyshev Polynomials*. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc.
- [12] Sălăgean, S. (1983). Subclasses of univalent functions. *Lecture notes in Mathematics*, 1013, 362-372.
- [13] Strivastava, H.M., Mishra, A.K. & Gochhayat, P. (2010). Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 23, (10), 1188-1192.
- [14] Yousef, F., Frasin, B.A. & Al-hawary, T. (2018). Fekete-Szegő inequality for analytic and bi-univalent functions subordinate to Chebyshev polynomials. *Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia*, 32(9) 3229-3236.