



**An Original note on Fermat numbers,  
on numbers of the form  $W_n$  and  
on numbers of the form  $10k + 8 + F_n$  [ where  
 $W_n \in \{22 + F_n, 2^n + F_n\}$ ,  $n$  is an integer  $\geq 0$ ,  
 $F_n$  is a Fermat number and  $k$  is an integer  $\geq 0$ ]**

Ikorong Annouk,

Centre De Calcul; D'Enseignement Et De Recherche En  
Mathematiques Pures. [ikorong@ccr.jussieu.fr](mailto:ikorong@ccr.jussieu.fr)  
Paul Archambault. [Paul.Archambault@precisely.com](mailto:Paul.Archambault@precisely.com)

**Abstract and Definitions.** A Fermat number is a number of the form  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$ , where  $n$  is an integer  $\geq 0$ . A Fermat composite (see [1] or [2] or [4]) is a non prime Fermat number. Fermat composites and Fermat primes are characterized via divisibility in [4] and [5] (A Fermat prime (see [1] or [2] or [4]) is a prime Fermat number). It is known (see [4]) that for every  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $F_j$  is a Fermat prime and it is also known (see [2] or [3]) that  $F_5$  and  $F_6$  are Fermat composites. In this paper, we show [via elementary arithmetic congruences] the following result (T.). For every integer  $n \geq 2$ ,  $F_n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{j}$  (where  $j \in \{3, 5\}$ ). Result (T.) immediately implies that for every fixed integer  $k \geq 0$ , there exists at most two primes of the form  $10k + 8 + F_n$  [in particular, for every fixed integer  $k \geq 0$ , the numbers of the form  $10k + 8 + F_n$  (where  $n$  is an integer  $\geq 2$ ) are all composites]. Result (T.) also implies that there are infinitely many composite numbers of the form  $2^n + F_n$  and there exists no prime number of form  $22 + F_n$ . Result (T.) coupled with a special case of a Theorem of Dirichlet on arithmetic progressions help us to explain why it is natural to conjecture that there are infinitely many Fermat primes.

**Keywords:** Fermat number,  $F_n$ .

**AMS Classification 2000:** 05xx and 11xx

**Theorem 1.1.**

(T.). For every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $F_n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{j}$  ( where  $j \in \{3, 5\}$ ).

(T.1). For every fixed integer  $k \geq 0$ , there exists at most two primes of the form  $10k + 8 + F_n$ .

(T.2). For every fixed integer  $k \geq 0$ , the numbers of the form  $10k + 8 + F_n$  [where  $n$  is an integer  $\geq 2$ ] are all composites.

(T.3). There are infinitely many composite numbers of the form  $2^n + F_n$ .

(T.4). There exists no prime number of the form  $22 + F_n$ .

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following remarks.

**Remark 1.0.** Let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 3$ . If  $2^{2^{n-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{j}$  where  $j \in \{3, 5\}$ , then  $2^{2^{n-1}} \times 2^{2^{n-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{j}$ . (Proof. Immediate [via elementary arithmetic congruences].  $\square$ )

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 2$ , then  $2^{2^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{j}; j \in \{3, 5\}$ . (Proof. Otherwise

$$\text{let } n \text{ be minimum such that } 2^{2^n} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{j}; j \in \{3, 5\} \tag{1.1}.$$

Clearly

$$n \geq 3 \tag{1.2}$$

(since  $2^{2^2} = 16$  and  $16 \equiv 1 \pmod{j}$  where  $j \in \{3, 5\}$ ). It is immediate to see that

$$2^{2^n} = 2^{2^{n-1}} \times 2^{2^{n-1}} \tag{1.3}.$$

Now using equality (1.3) and inequality (1.2), we easily deduce that (1.1) clearly implies that

$$2^{2^{n-1}} \times 2^{2^{n-1}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{j}, \text{ where } j \in \{3, 5\} \text{ and where } 2^{2^{n-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{j}; (n \geq 3) \tag{1.4}.$$

(1.4) clearly contradicts Remark 1.0.  $\square$ )

**Remark 1.2.** Let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 3$ . If  $2 \times 2^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $2^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . (Proof. Immediate [via elementary arithmetic congruences and the fact that  $2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ].  $\square$ )

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 2$ ; then  $2^n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

(Proof. Otherwise

$$\text{let } n \text{ be minimum such that } 2^n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \tag{1.5}.$$

Clearly

$$n \geq 3 \tag{1.6}$$

(since  $2^2 = 4$  and  $4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ). It is immediate to see that

$$2 \times 2^{n-1} = 2^n \tag{1.7}.$$

Now using equality (1.7) and inequality (1.6), we easily deduce that (1.5) clearly implies that

$$2 \times 2^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \text{ where } 2^{n-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}; n \geq 3 \tag{1.8}.$$

(1.8) clearly contradicts Remark 1.2.  $\square$ )

**Proposition 1.4.** Let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 2$  and let  $k$  be a fixed integer  $\geq 0$  [ $k$  is fixed once and for all, so  $k$  does not move anymore]. Then  $10k + 8 + F_n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  and  $10k + 8 + F_n$  is composite.

(Proof (i).  $8 + F_n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  and  $8 + F_n$  is composite. Clearly

$$(2^{2^n} + 1) + 8 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \tag{1.9}$$

[use Proposition 1.1 and elementary arithmetic congruences]. So  $8 + F_n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  and  $8 + F_n$  is composite [use congruence (1.9) and observe that  $(2^{2^n} + 1) + 8 = 8 + F_n$  and  $8 + F_n > 5$  (note that  $n \geq 2$ )].

(ii).  $10k + 8 + F_n \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  and  $10k + 8 + F_n$  is composite. Immediate (use (i) and observe that  $10k \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ ). Proposition 1.4 immediately follows [use (i) and (ii)].  $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 2$ . Then  $22 + F_n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $22 + F_n$  is composite.*

(Proof. Clearly

$$22 + (2^{2^n} + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \tag{1.10}$$

[observe that  $2^{2^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  (use Proposition 1.1) and use elementary arithmetic congruences]. So  $22 + F_n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $22 + F_n$  is composite [use congruence (1.10) and observe that  $22 + (2^{2^n} + 1) = 22 + F_n$  and  $22 + F_n > 3$  (note that  $n \geq 2$ )]. Proposition 1.5 immediately follows).  $\square$

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 3$  and let  $B_n = 2^n + F_n$ ; then there exists  $j \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $B_{n+j}$  is composite.*

(Proof. (i'). If  $2^n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then the number  $B_{n+j}$  is composite, where  $j = 1$ . Indeed if  $2^n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , clearly

$$2 \times 2^n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \tag{1.11}$$

[use elementary arithmetic congruences] and so

$$2^{n+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \tag{1.12}$$

[use (1.11) and observe that  $2 \times 2^n = 2^{n+1}$ ]. Observe (via Proposition 1.1) that

$$2^{2^{n+1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \tag{1.13},$$

and so

$$2^{2^{n+1}} + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \tag{1.14}$$

[use (1.13) and elementary arithmetic congruences]. Clearly

$$2^{n+1} + (2^{2^{n+1}} + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \tag{1.15}$$

[use (1.12) and (1.14) and elementary arithmetic congruences]. Clearly

$$2^{n+j} + F_{n+j} \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ where } j = 1 \tag{1.16}$$

[use (1.15) and observe that  $2^{n+1} + (2^{2^{n+1}} + 1) = 2^{n+j} + F_{n+j}$ , where  $j = 1$ ] and so  $B_{n+j}$  is composite, where  $j = 1$  [use (1.16) and observe that  $B_{n+1} = 2^{n+1} + F_{n+1}$  and  $B_{n+1} > 3$  since  $n \geq 3$ ].

(ii'). If  $2^n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then the number  $B_{n+j}$  is composite, where  $j = 0$ . Indeed if  $2^n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then

$$2^n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \tag{1.17}$$

[use Proposition 1.3, by observing that  $2^n \equiv k \pmod{3}$  if and only if  $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ]. Now observe (by Proposition 1.1) that

$$2^{2^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \tag{1.18},$$

and so

$$2^{2^n} + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \tag{1.19}$$

[use (1.18) and elementary arithmetic congruences]. Clearly

$$2^n + (2^{2^n} + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad (1.20)$$

[use (1.17) and (1.19) and elementary arithmetic congruences]. Clearly

$$2^{n+j} + F_{n+j} \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ where } j = 0 \quad (1.21)$$

[use (1.20) and observe that  $2^n + (2^{2^n} + 1) = 2^{n+j} + F_{n+j}$ , where  $j = 0$ ] and so  $B_{n+j}$  is composite, where  $j = 0$  [use (1.21) and observe that  $B_n = 2^n + F_n$  and  $B_n > 3$  since  $n \geq 3$ ]. Proposition 1.6 immediately follows [use (i') and (ii')].  $\square$

**Remark 1.7.** *There are infinitely many composite numbers of the form  $2^n + F_n$  or there are infinitely many prime numbers of the form  $2^n + F_n$ .*

(Proof. Immediate).  $\square$

Having made the previous Remarks and Propositions, then Theorem 1.1 becomes immediate to prove.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.*

(T.). Immediate [use Proposition 1.1, and observe that  $2^{2^n} = F_n - 1$ ].

(T.1). Immediate [observe that  $10k + 8 + F_0 = 10k + 11$  and  $10k + 8 + F_1 = 10k + 13$ ; and use Proposition 1.4].

(T.2). Immediate [use Proposition 1.4].

(T.3). Immediate [use Proposition 1.6 and Remark 1.7].

(T.4). Immediate [observe that  $22 + F_0 = 25$  and  $22 + F_1 = 27$ ; and use Proposition 1.5].  $\square$

Now using Result (T.), then we end this note by looking at Fermat primes.

**Observation.** *It is natural to conjecture that there are infinitely many Fermat primes.*

Indeed observing [via Result (T.) of Theorem 1.1] that

$$F_n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } F_n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \text{ for every integer } n \geq 2 \quad (1.22),$$

clearly

$$F_n \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \text{ and } F_n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ for every integer } n \geq 2 \quad (1.23),$$

[use (1.22) and elementary arithmetic congruences].

Now let  $A_{2,5} = \{e; e \text{ is prime and } e \equiv 2 \pmod{5}\}$  and let  $A_{2,3} = \{e'; e' \text{ is prime and } e' \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$ . Since it is immediate that

$$(2, 5) = 1 \text{ and } (2, 3) = 1 \text{ [i.e. } (2, 5) \text{ and } (2, 3) \text{ are two couple of positive coprime integers]} \quad (1.24),$$

then using (1.24) coupled with a special case of a Theorem of Dirichlet on arithmetic progressions, it follows that

$$\text{card}(A_{2,5}) \text{ is infinite and } \text{card}(A_{2,3}) \text{ is infinite} \quad (1.25).$$

Now using (1.23) and (1.25) and the fact that for every  $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$   $F_n$  is prime and  $F_n \in A_{2,5} \cup A_{2,3}$  [use Abstract and definitions for  $F_n$  and (1.23) and the definition of  $(A_{2,5}, A_{2,3})$ ], then it becomes natural to conjecture the following.

**Conjecture.**  *$A_{2,5} \cup A_{2,3}$  contains infinitely many  $F_n$  ( $A_{2,5}$  and  $A_{2,3}$  are defined via the Observation placed just above).*

Observing that  $A_{2,5}$  and  $A_{2,3}$  are two infinite set of prime numbers, then

the previous conjecture immediately implies that there are infinitely many Fermat primes.

## References

- [1] Dickson. *Theory of Numbers (History of Numbers. Divisibility and primality) Vol 1. Chelsea Publishing Company. New York, N.Y (1952). Preface.III to Preface.XII.*
- [2] G.H Hardy, E.M Wright. *An introduction to the theory of numbers. Fith Edition. Clarendon Press. Oxford.*
- [3] Paul Hoffman. *Erdős, l'homme qui n'aimait que les nombres. Editions Belin, (2000). 30-49.*
- [4] Ikorong Annouk. *Placed Near The Fermat Primes And The Fermat Composite Numbers. International Journal Of Research In Mathematic And Apply Mathematical Sciences; Vol3; 2012, 72 - 82.*
- [5] Ikorong Annouk. *Then We Characterize Primes and Composite Numbers Via Divisibility. International Journal of Advanced In Pure Mathematical Sciences; Volume 2, no.1; 2014.*