

Volume 12, Issue 3

Published online: October 02, 2017|

Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics www.scitecresearch.com/journals

# I (D,B)-supra pre maps via supra topological ordered spaces

T. M. Al-shami<sup>1</sup> and Mohammed K. Tahat<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sana'a University, Sana'a –Yemen

Email:tareqalshami83@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Saudi Electronic University –Saudi Arabia

Email:just.tahat@gmail.com

## Abstract

Sayed [19] defined a supra *pre*-open set and studied its basic properties. In this work, we introduce various forms of supra continuous (supra open, supra closed, supra homeomorphism) maps in supra topological ordered spaces by using the notions of supra *pre*-open sets and increasing (decreasing, balancing) sets. We illustrate the relationships among these maps with the help of examples. Moreover, we investigate under what conditions these maps preserve some separation axioms between supra topological ordered spaces.

**Keywords:** I(D,B)-supra *pre*-continuous map; I(D,B)-supra *pre*-open map I(D,B)-supra *pre*-homeomorphism map, Ordered supra *pre*-separation axioms.

## 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54F05, 54F15

## 1. Introduction

A set *X* that is both a topological space and a partially ordered set is variously called a topological ordered space and is denoted by the triple  $(X, \tau, \leq)$ , where  $\tau$  is a topology and  $\leq$  is a partial order relation on *X*. With regard to this definition, the topology and the partial order relation operate independently of one another. The study of topological ordered spaces was initiated by Nachbin [18] in 1965.

In 1968, McCartan [17] introduced and studied ordered separation axioms by utilizing a monotone neighborhood. Mashhour et al. [16] introduced a notion of supra topological spaces and generalized some properties of topological spaces to supra topological spaces such as continuity and some separation axioms. In 1991, Arya and Gupta [7] utilized semi open sets [15] to introduce semi separation axioms in topological ordered spaces. In 2002, Leela and Balasubramanian [14] utilized  $\beta$ -open sets [1] to introduce  $\beta T_1$ -ordered spaces and  $\beta T_2$ -ordered spaces. Also, in 2002, Kumar [13] introduced and studied the concepts of continuity, openness, closeness and homeomorphism between topological ordered spaces. In 2004, Das [8] introduced ordered separation axioms in some ordered spaces by generalized results obtained by McCartan [17]. Sayed [20] introduced and studied supra pre-open sets and supra pre-continuous maps. In 2012, Rao and Chudamani [19] generalized the concepts and results obtained in [13] by using supra pre-open sets. In 2016, Abo-Elhamayel and Al-shami [2] introduced the concepts of x-supra continuous (x-supra open, x-supra closed, x-supra homeomorphism) maps in supra topological ordered spaces, for  $x = \{I, D, B\}$  and studied their properties. El-Shafei et al. [10] introduced a notion of supra R-open sets and defined new types of supra separation axioms. Also, they initiated some ordered maps via supra topological ordered spaces in [11] and investigated strong separation axioms in supra topological ordered spaces in [12].Al-shami[6] studied ordered maps by using some generalized supra open sets and investigated their main properties.

The aim of the present paper is to establish some types of x-supra *pre*-continuous (x-supra *pre*-open, x-supra *pre*-closed, x-supra *pre*-homeomorphism) maps in supra topological spaces, for  $x = \{$ increasing, decreasing, balancing $\}$ (briefly, for  $x = \{I, D, B\}$ ). Also, we investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for

these maps to preserve some separation axioms. Many of the findings that raised at are generalizations of those findings in supra topological ordered spaces which introduced in [2].

Throughout this paper,  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  stand for topological ordered spaces and  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  and  $(Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  stand for supra topological ordered spaces. A diagonal relation is denoted by  $\Delta$ .

In the following, we recall some concepts and notions that will be needed in the sequels.

- **Definition 1.1.** [18] Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. Then:
- i.  $i(b) = \{a \in X : b \leq a\}$  and  $d(b) = \{a \in X : a \leq b\}$ .
- ii.  $i(B) = \bigcup \{i(b): b \in B\}$  and  $d(B) = \bigcup \{d(b): b \in B\}$ .

iii. A set B is called increasing (resp. decreasing), If B = i(B) (resp. B = d(B)).

**Definition 1.2.** [20] A subset *E* of a supra topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is called supra *pre*-open if  $E \subseteq int(cl(E))$  and its complement is called supra *pre*-closed.

**Definition 1.3.** [2] A map  $g: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \theta)$  is said to be supra open (resp. supra closed) if the image of any open (resp. closed) subset of *X* is a supra open (resp. supra closed) subset of *Y*.

## **Definition 1.4.**[16]

- i. A map  $g: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \theta)$  is said to be supra continuous if the inverse image of each open subset of Y is a supra open subset of X.
- ii. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $\mu$  be a supra topology on X. We say that  $\mu$  is associated supra topology with  $\tau$  if  $\tau \subseteq \mu$ .

**Definition 1.5.** [20] A map  $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \theta)$  is said to be:

- i. Supra *pre*-continuous if the inverse image of each open subset of Y is a supra *pre*-open subset of X.
- ii. Supra *pre*-open (resp. supra *pre* -closed) if the image of each open (resp. closed) subset of X is a supra *pre* -open (resp. supra *pre* -closed) subset of Y.

In what follows, we give the definition of supra *pre*-homeomorphism maps.

**Definition 1.6.** A map  $g: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \theta)$  is said to be supra *pre*-homeomorphism if it is bijective, supra *pre* -continuous and supra *pre* -open.

**Definition 1.7.** A map  $g: (X, \leq_1) \to (Y, \leq_2)$  is called

- i. Order *preserving* (or increasing) if  $a \leq_1 b$ , then  $f(a) \leq_2 f(b)$ , for each  $a, b \in X$ .
- ii. Order embedding if  $a \leq_1 b$  if and only if  $f(a) \leq_2 f(b)$ , for each  $a, b \in X$ .

## Theorem 1.8.

- i. If  $g: (X, \leq_1) \to (Y, \leq_2)$  is an increasing map, then the inverse image of each increasing (resp. decreasing) is increasing (resp. decreasing).
- ii. If  $g: (X, \leq_1) \to (Y, \leq_2)$  is a decreasing map, then the inverse image of each increasing (resp. decreasing) is decreasing (resp. increasing).

**Definition 1.9.** ([16], [20]) Let *E* be a subset of a supra topological space (X,  $\mu$ ). Then:

- i. Supra interior of E, denoted by sint(E), is the union of all supra open sets contained in E.
- ii. Supra closure of E, denoted by scl(E), is the intersection of all supra closed sets containing E.
- iii. Supra *pre*-interior of *E*, denoted by spint(E), is the union of all supra *pre*-open sets contained in *E*.
- iv. Supra *pre*-closure of E, denoted by spcl(E), is the intersection of all supra *pre*-closed sets containing E.

**Definition 1.10.** [17] A topological ordered space (X,  $\tau$ ,  $\preccurlyeq$ ) is called:

- i. Lower (Upper) strong  $T_1$ -ordered if for each  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq b$ , there exists an increasing (a decreasing) open set G containing a(b) such that b(a) belongs to  $G^c$ .
- ii. Strong  $T_1$ -ordered if it is both strong lower  $T_1$ -ordered and strong upper  $T_1$ -ordered.

- iii. Strong  $T_0$ -ordered if it is strong lower  $T_1$ -ordered or strong upper  $T_1$ -ordered.
- iv. Strong  $T_2$ -ordered if for every  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq b$ , there exist disjoint open setss  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  of a and b, respectively, such that  $W_1$  is increasing and  $W_2$  is decreasing.

**Definition 1.11**. [12] A supra topological ordered space  $(X, \mu, \leq)$  is called:

- i. Lower (Upper)  $SST_1$ -ordered if for each  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq b$ , there exists an increasing (a decreasing) supra open set G containing a(b) such that b(a) belongs to  $G^c$ .
- ii.  $SST_1$ -ordered space if it is both lower and upper  $SST_1$ -ordered space.
- iii.  $SST_0$ -ordered space if it is lower  $SST_1$ -ordered space or upper  $SST_1$ -ordered space.
- iv.  $SST_2$ -ordered if for every  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq b$ , there exist disjoint supra open sets  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  of a and b, respectively, such that  $W_1$  is increasing and  $W_2$  is decreasing.

#### 2. Supra pre-continuous Maps in Supra Topological Ordered Spaces

The concepts of *I*-supra *pre*-continuous, *D*-supra *pre*-continuous and *B*-supra *pre*-continuous maps in supra topological ordered spaces are presented and their main properties are investigated. The relationships among them are illustrated with the help of examples. Under what conditions these three types of supra *pre*-continuous maps *pre*serve some of ordered supra *pre*-separation axioms are studied as well.

**Definition 2.1.** A subset *E* of  $(X, \mu, \leq)$  is said to be:

- i. *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-open if it is supra *pre*-open and increasing (resp. decreasing, balancing).
- ii. I-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-closed if it is supra *pre*-closed and increasing (resp. decreasing, balancing).

**Definition 2.2.** A map  $f: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \to (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is called *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-continuous at  $p \in X$  if for each open set *H* containing f(p), there exists an *I*-supra (resp. a *D*-supra, a *B*-supra) *pre*-open set *G* containing *p* such that  $f(G) \subseteq H$ .

Also, the map is called *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) pre-continuous if it is continuous at each point  $p \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** A map  $f: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \to (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-continuous if and only if the inverse image of each open subset of Y is an *I*-supra (resp. a *D*-supra, a *B*-supra) *pre*-open subset of X.

Proof. We only prove the theorem in case of *f* is an *I*-supra *pre*-continuous map.

To prove the necessary part, let G be an open subset of Y, Then we have the following two cases:

 $f^{-1}(G) = \emptyset$  which is an *I*-supra *pre*-open subset of *X*.

 $f^{-1}(G) \neq \emptyset$ . By choosing  $p \in X$  such that  $p \in f^{-1}(G)$ , we obtain that  $f(p) \in G$ . So there exists an *I*-supra *pre*-open set  $H_p$  containing p such that  $f(H_p) \subseteq G$ . Since p is chosen arbitrary, then  $f^{-1}(G) = \bigcup_{p \in f^{-1}(G)} H_p$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(G)$  is an *I*-supra *pre*-open subset of X.

To prove the sufficient part, let G be an open subset of Y containing f(p). Then  $p \in f^{-1}(G)$ . By hypothesis,  $f^{-1}(G)$  is an *I*-supra pre-open set. Since  $f(f^{-1}(G)) \subseteq G$ , then f is an *I*-supra pre-continuous at  $p \in X$  and since p is chosen arbitrary, then f is an *I*-supra pre-continuous.

#### Remark 1.

- i. Every *I*-supra (*D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-continuous map is supra *pre*-continuous.
- ii. Every *B*-supra *pre*-continuous map is *I*-supra *pre*-continuous and *D*-supra *pre*-continuous.

The following two examples illustrate that a supra *pre*-continuous map (resp. *I*-supra *pre*-continuous) need not be *I*-supra *pre*-continuous or *D*-supra *pre*-continuous or *B*-supra *pre*-continuous (resp. *B*-supra *pre*-continuous).

**Example 2.4.** Let the supra topology  $\mu = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and the topology  $\tau = \{\emptyset, Y, \{x\}\}$  on  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ , respectively. Let the partial ordered relation  $\leq_1 = \Delta \{(a, b), (b, d), (a, b)\}$ 

d)} on X and let a map  $f: X \to Y$  is defined as follows f(a) = f(c) = f(d) = x and f(b) = y. Obviously, f is supra pre-continuous. Now,  $\{x\}$  is an open subset of Y, whereas  $f^{-1}(\{x\}) = \{a, c, d\}$  is neither a decreasing nor an increasing supra pre-open subset of X. Then f is not I-supra (D-supra, B-supra) precontinuous.

**Example 2.5.** We replace only the partial order relation in Example 2.4, by  $\leq_1 = \Delta \{(b, d)\}$ . Then the map f is *I*-supra *pre*-continuous, but not *B*-supra *pre*-continuous.

The relationships among types of supra continuous maps are illustrated in the following figure.





**Definition 2.6.** Let *E* be a subset of  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$ . Then:

- $E^{ispo} = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is an } I \text{-supra } pre \text{-open set contained in } E \}.$ i.
- $E^{dspo} = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is a } D \text{-supra } pre \text{-open set contained in } E \}.$ ii.
- $E^{bspo} = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is a } B \text{-supra } pre \text{-open set contained in } E \}.$ iii.
- $E^{ispcl} = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is an } I \text{-supra } pre \text{-closed set containing } E \}.$ iv.
- $E^{dspo} = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is a } D \text{-supra } pre \text{-closed set containing } E \}.$ v.
- $E^{bspo} = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is a } B \text{-supra } pre \text{-closed set containing } E \}.$ vi.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let *E* be a subset of  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$ . Then:

 $(E^{dspo})^c = (E^c)^{ispcl}$ . i.

ii. 
$$(E^{ispo})^c = (E^c)^{dspcl}$$
.

 $(E^{\mathrm{b}spo})^c = (E^c)^{\mathrm{b}spcl}.$ iii.

Proof.

 $(E^{dspo})^c = \{ \cup F : F \text{ is a } D \text{ -supra } pre \text{ -open set contained in } E \}^c$  $= \cap \{F^c: F^c \text{ is an } I \text{-supra } pre \text{-closed set containing } E^c\}$  $= (E^c)^{ispo}$ . The proof of ii and iii is similar to that of i.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $g: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \to (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  be a map. Then the following five statements are equivalent:

- i. g is *I*-supra *pre*-continuous;
- The inverse image of each closed subset of Y is a D-supra pre-closed subset of X; ii.
- $(g^{-1}(H))^{dspcl} \subseteq g^{-1}(cl(H)), \text{ for every } H \subseteq Y;$  $g(A^{dspcl}) \subseteq cl(g(A)), \text{ for every } A \subseteq X;$ iii.
- iv.

v. 
$$g^{-1}(int(H)) \subseteq (g^{-1}(H))^{ispo}$$
.

Proof.

i $\rightarrow$ ii: Consider H is a closed subset of Y. Then  $H^c$  is open. Therefore  $g^{-1}(H^c) = (g^{-1}(H))^c$  is an I-supra *pre*-open subset of X. So  $g^{-1}(H)$  is D-supra pre-closed.

ii→iii: For any subset H of Y, we have that cl(H) is closed. Since  $g^{-1}(cl(H))$  is a D-supra pre-closed subset of X, then  $(g^{-1}(H))^{dspcl} \subseteq (g^{-1}(cl(H)))^{dspcl} = g^{-1}(cl(H)).$ 

iii $\rightarrow$ iv: Consider A is a subset of X. Then  $A^{dspcl} \subseteq (g^{-1}(g(A)))^{dspcl} \subseteq g^{-1}(cl(g(A)))$ . Therefore  $g(A^{dspcl}) \subseteq g(g^{-1}(cl(g(A)))) \subseteq cl(g(A))$ .

iv→v: Consider *H* is a subset of *Y*. By Lemma 2.7, we obtain that  $g(X - (g^{-1}(H))^{ispo}) = g(((g^{-1}(H))^c)^{dspcl})$ . By iv,  $g(((g^{-1}(H))^c)^{dspcl}) \subseteq cl(g(g^{-1}(H))^c) = cl(g(g^{-1}(H^c))) \subseteq cl(Y - H) = Y - int(H.$  So $X - (g^{-1}(H))^{ispo} \subseteq g^{-1}(Y - int(H)) = X - g^{-1}(int(H))$ . Thus  $g^{-1}(int(H)) \subseteq (g^{-1}(H))^{ispcl}$ .

v→i: Consider *H* is an open subset of *Y*. Then  $g^{-1}(H) = g^{-1}(int(H)) \subseteq (g^{-1}(H))^{ispo}$ . Since  $(g^{-1}(H))^{ispo} \subseteq g^{-1}(H)$ , then  $g^{-1}(H)$  is an *I*-supra pre-open subset of *X*. So g is I-supra pre-continuous.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $g: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  be a map. Then the following five statements are equivalent: i. g is *D*-supra *pre*-continuous;

- ii. The inverse image of each closed subset of *Y* is a *I*-supra *pre*-closed subset of *X*;
- iii.  $(g^{-1}(H))^{ispcl} \subseteq g^{-1}(cl(H))$ , for every  $H \subseteq Y$ ;
- iv.  $g(A^{ispcl}) \subseteq cl(g(A))$ , for every  $A \subseteq X$ ;
- v.  $g^{-1}(int(H)) \subseteq (g^{-1}(H))^{dspo}$ .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.8.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $g: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  be a map. Then the following five statements are equivalent: i. g is *B*-supra *pre*-continuous;

- ii. The inverse image of each closed subset of Y is a *B*-supra *pre*-closed subset of X;
- iii.  $(g^{-1}(H))^{bspcl} \subseteq g^{-1}(cl(H))$ , for every  $H \subseteq Y$ ;
- iv.  $g(A^{bspcl}) \subseteq cl(g(A))$ , for every  $A \subseteq X$ ;
- v.  $g^{-1}(int(H)) \subseteq (g^{-1}(H))^{bspo}$ .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.8.

Definition 2.11. A supra topological ordered space ( $X, \mu, \preccurlyeq$ ) is called:

- i. Lower (Upper) strong supra p- $T_1$ -ordered (briefly, lower (upper) SSp- $T_1$ -ordered) if for each  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq b$ , there exists an increasing (a decreasing) supra *pre*-open set G containing a(b) such that b(a) belongs to  $G^c$ .
- ii.  $SSp T_0$ -ordered space if it is lower  $SSp T_1$ -ordered or upper  $SSp T_1$ -ordered.
- iii.  $SSp T_1$ -ordered space if it is both lower  $SSp T_1$ -ordered and upper  $SSp T_1$ -ordered.
- iv.  $SSp T_2$ -ordered if for every  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq b$ , there exist disjoint supra *p*-open sets  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  containing *a* and *b*, respectively, such that  $W_1$  is increasing and  $W_2$  is decreasing.
- v. Lower (resp. upper) supra *p*-regularly ordered if for every decreasing (resp. increasing) supra *pre*closed set *F* and for each  $a \notin F$ , there exist disjoint supra *pre*-open sets  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  containing *F* and *a*, respectively, such that  $W_1$  is decreasing (resp. increasing) and  $W_2$  is increasing (resp. decreasing).
- vi. Supra *p*-normally ordered if for every disjoint supra *pre*-closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  such that  $F_1$  is decreasing and  $F_2$  is increasing, there exist disjoint supra *pre*-open sets  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  containing  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively, such that  $W_1$  is decreasing and  $W_1$  is increasing.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let a bijective map  $f: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \to (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  be *I*-supra *pre*-continuous and  $f^{-1}$  be order preserving. If  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is lower  $T_1$ -ordered, then  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  is lower SSp- $T_1$ -ordered.

Proof. Let  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \not\leq_1 b$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in Y$  such that x = f(a), y = f(b). Since  $f^{-1}$  is order preserving, then  $x \not\leq_2 y$ . Since  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is lower  $T_1$ -ordered, then there exists an increasing neighborhood W of xin Y such that  $x \in W$  and  $y \notin W$ . Therefore there exists an open set G such that  $x \in G \subseteq W$ . Since f is bijective *I*-supra *pre*-continuous, then  $a \in f^{-1}(G)$  which is I-supra *pre*-open and  $b \notin f^{-1}(G)$ . Thus  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  is lower *SSp*- $T_1$ -ordered.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let a bijective map  $f: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \to (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  be *I*-supra *pre*-continuous and  $f^{-1}$  be order preserving. If  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is upper  $T_1$ -ordered, then  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  is upper *SSp* - $T_1$ -ordered. Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.12.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let a bijective map  $f: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \to (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  be *I*-supra *pre*-continuous and  $f^{-1}$  be order preserving. If  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is  $T_i$ -ordered, then  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  is SSp- $T_i$ -ordered, for i = 0, 1, 2. Proof. We prove the theorem in case of i = 2.

Let  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq_1 b$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in Y$  such that x = f(a), y = f(b). Since  $f^{-1}$  is order preserving, then  $x \leq_2 y$ . Since  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is  $T_2$ -ordered, then there exists disjoint neighborhoods  $W_1$ and  $W_2$  of x and y, respectively, such that  $W_1$  is increasing and  $W_2$  is decreasing. Therefore there exist disjoint open sets G and H containing x and y, respectively.. Since f is bijective B-supra pre-continuous, then  $a \in f^{-1}(G)$  which is an increasing supra pre -open subset of X,  $b \in f^{-1}(H)$  which is a decreasing supra pre-open subset of X and  $f^{-1}(G) \cap f^{-1}(H) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  is  $SSp-T_i$ -ordered.

In a similar way, we can prove the theorem in case of i = 0, 1.

**Theorem 2.15.** Consider  $f: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \to (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is a bijective supra *pre*-continuous such that *f* is ordered embedding. If  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is strong  $T_i$ -ordered, then  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  is  $SSp-T_i$ -ordered, for i = 0, 1, 2. Proof. We prove the theorem in case of i = 2. Let  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq_1 b$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in Y$  such that x = f(a), y = f(b). Since *f* is ordered embedding, then  $x \leq_2 y$ . Since  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is strong  $T_2$ -ordered, then there exist disjoint supra open sets  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  containing *x* and *y*, respectively, such that  $W_1$  is increasing and  $W_2$  is decreasing. Since *f* is bijective supra *pre*-continuous and order *preserving*, then  $f^{-1}(W_1)$  is an increasing supra *pre*-open set containing *a*,  $f^{-1}(W_2)$  is a decreasing supra *pre*-open set containing *b* and  $f^{-1}(W_1) \cap f^{-1}(W_2) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  is  $SSp-T_i$ -ordered.

Similarly, one can prove the theorem in case of i = 0, 1.

**Theorem 2.16.**Consider  $f: (X, \mu, \leq_1) \to (Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is an injective *B*-supra *pre*-continuous map. If  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is a  $T_i$ -space, then  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  is an SSp- $T_i$ -ordered space, for i = 1, 2.

Proof. We prove the theorem in case of i = 2 and the other case is similar. Let  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a \leq_1 b$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in Y$  such that x = f(a), y = f(b). Since f is injective, then  $x \neq y$  and since  $(Y, \tau, \leq_2)$  is a  $T_2$ -space, then there exist disjoint open sets G and H such that  $x \in G$  and  $y \in H$ . Therefore  $a \in f-1(G)$  which is an increasing supra *pre*-open subset of  $X, b \in f-1(H)$  which is a decreasing supra *pre*-open subset of X and  $f^{-1}(G) \cap f^{-1}(H) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(X, \mu, \leq_1)$  is an *SSp*- $T_2$ -ordered space.

## 3. Supra pre-open (Supra pre-closed) Maps in Supra Topological Ordered Spaces

In this section, we introduce the concepts of *I*-supra *pre*-open (*I*-supra *pre*-closed), *D*-supra *pre*-open (*D*-supra *pre*-closed) and *B*-supra *pre*-open (*B*-supra *pre*-closed) maps in supra topological ordered spaces. We demonstrate their main properties and illustrate relationships among them with the help of examples. Finally, we *pre*sent some results concerning the image and per image of some separation axioms under these maps.

**Definition 3.1.** A map  $g: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \rightarrow (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  is said to be:

- i. *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-open if the image of any open subset of *X* is an *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-open subset of *Y*.
- ii. *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-closed if the image of any closed subset of X is an *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-closed subset of Y.

#### Remark 1.

- i. Every *I*-supra (*D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-open map is supra *pre*-open.
- ii. Every *I*-supra (*D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-closed map is supra *pre*-closed.
- iii. Every *B*-supra *pre*-open (resp. *B*-supra *pre*-closed) map is *I*-supra *pre*-open and *D*-supra *pre*-open (resp. *I*-supra *pre*-closed and *D*-supra *pre*-closed).

The following two examples illustrate that a supra *pre*-open (resp. *D*-supra *pre*-open) map need not be *I*-supra *pre*-open or *D*-supra *pre*-open or *B*-supra *pre*-open (resp. *B*-supra *pre*-open).

**Example 3.2.** Let  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}\}$  be a topology and  $\leq_2 = \Delta \{(1, 3), (3, 2), (1, 2)\}$  be a partial order relation on  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Let the supra topology associated with  $\tau$  be  $\{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ . The identity map  $f: X \to X$  is supra *pre*-open. Now,  $\{1, 2\}$  is an open subset of X. Since  $f(\{1, 2\}) = \{1, 2\}$  is neither an

increasing nor a decreasing supra *pre*-open subset of Y. Then f is not x-supra *pre*-open map for  $x = \{I, D, B\}$ .

**Example 3.3.** We replace only the partial order relation in Example 3.2 by  $\leq_2 = \Delta \{(1, 3), (1, 2)\}$ . Then the map *f* is *D*-supra *pre*-open, but is not *B*-supra *pre*-open.

The following two examples illustrate that a supra *pre*-closed (resp. an *I*-supra *pre*-closed) map need not be *I*-supra *pre*-closed or *D*-supra *pre*-closed or *B*-supra *pre*-closed).

**Example 3.4.** Let the topology  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$  on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ , the supra topology associated with  $\tau$  be  $\{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and the partial order relation  $\leq_2 = \Delta \{(a, c), (c, b), (a, b)\}$  on X. The map  $f: X \to X$  is defined as follows f(a) = f(c) = c and f(b) = b. Obviously, f is supra *pre*-closed. Now,  $\{c\}$  is a closed subset of X, but  $f(\{c\}) = \{c\}$  is neither a decreasing nor an increasing supra *pre*-closed subset of Y. Then the map f is not I(D, B)-supra *pre*-closed.

**Example 3.5.** We replace only the partial order relation in Example 3.4 by  $\leq_2 = \Delta \{(b, c)\}$ . Then the map f is *I*-supra *pre*-closed, but is not *B*-supra *pre*-closed.

The relationships among the presented types of supra open (supra closed) maps are illustrated in the following figure.



## Fig 2: The relationships among types of supra open (supra closed) maps

**Theorem 3.6.** The following statements are equivalent, for a map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$ :

- i. *f* is *I*-supra *pre*-open;
- ii.  $intf^{-1}(H) \subseteq f^{-1}(H^{ispo})$ , for every  $H \subseteq Y$ ;
- iii.  $f(int(G)) \subseteq (f(G))^{ispo}$ , for every  $G \subseteq X$ .

Proof.i→ii: Since  $int(f^{-1}(H))$  is an open subset of X, then  $f(int(f^{-1}(H)))$  is an *I*-supra pre-open subset of Y. Obviously,  $f(int(f^{-1}(H))) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(H)) \subseteq H$ . So  $int(f^{-1}(H)) \subseteq f^{-1}(H^{ispo})$ .

ii→iii: By replacing *H* by f(G) in ii, we obtain that  $int(f^{-1}(f(G))) \subseteq f^{-1}((f(G))^{ispo})$ . Since  $int(G) \subseteq int(f^{-1}(f(G))) \subseteq f^{-1}((f(G))^{ispo})$ , then  $f(int(G)) \subseteq (f(G))^{ispreo}$ .

iii→i: Let G be an open subset of X. Then  $f(int(G)) = f(G) \subseteq (f(G))^{ispo}$ . So f(G) is an I-supra pre-open set. Thus f is an I-supra pre-open map.

In a similar way one can prove the following two theorems.

**Theorem 3.7.** The following statements are equivalent, for a map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$ :

- i. *f* is *D*-supra *pre*-open;
- ii.  $int f^{-1}(H) \subseteq f^{-1}(H^{dspo})$ , for every  $H \subseteq Y$ ;

iii.  $f(int(G)) \subseteq (f(G))^{dspo}$ , for every  $G \subseteq X$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** The following statements are equivalent, for a map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$ :

- f is B-supra pre-open; i.
- $int f^{-1}(H) \subseteq f^{-1}(H^{bspo})$ , for every  $H \subseteq Y$ ; ii.
- $f(int(G)) \subseteq (f(G))^{bspo}$ , for every  $G \subseteq X$ . iii.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  be a map. Then we have the following results.

- fis*I*-supra *pre*-closed if and only if  $(f(G))^{ispcl} \subseteq f(cl(G))$ , for any  $G \subseteq X$ . fis*D*-supra *pre*-closed if and only if  $(f(G))^{dspcl} \subseteq f(cl(G))$ , for any  $G \subseteq X$ . i.
- ii.
- fisB-supra pre-closed if and only if  $(f(G))^{bspcl} \subseteq f(cl(G))$ , for any  $G \subseteq X$ . iii.

Proof. (i) Necessity: Consider f is an I-supra pre-closed map. Then for any  $G \subseteq X$ , we have that f(cl(G)) is an *I*-supra pre-closed subset of *Y*. Since  $f(G) \subseteq f(cl(G))$ , then  $(f(G))^{ispcl} \subseteq f(cl(G))$ . Sufficiency: Consider B is a closed subset of X. Then  $f(B) \subseteq (f(B))^{ispcl} \subseteq f(cl(B)) = f(B)$ . Therefore  $f(B) = f(B)^{ispcl}$ . Thus f(B) is an *I*-supra pre-closed set. Hence f is an *I*-supra pre-closed map. The proof of ii and iii is similar to that of (i).

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  be a bijective map. Then we have the following results.

- fisI-supra pre-open if and only if f is D-supra pre-closed. i.
- ii. fisD-supra pre-open if and only if f is I-supra pre-closed.
- fisB-supra pre-open if and only if f is B-supra pre-closed. iii.

Proof. (i) Necessity: Let f be an I-supra pre-open map and let G be a closed subset of X. Then  $G^c$  is open. Since f is bijective, then  $f(G^c) = (f(G))^c$  is I-supra pre-open. Therefore f(G) is a D-supra pre-closed subset of Y. Thus the map f is D-supra pre-closed.

Sufficiency: Let f be a D-supra pre-closed map and let B be an open subset of X. Then  $B^c$  is closed. Since f is bijective, then  $f(B^c) = (f(B))^c$  is D-supra pre-closed. Therefore f(B) is I-supra pre-open. Thus f the map is *I*-supra *pre*-closed.

The proof of ii and iii is similar to that of (i).

Theorem 3.11. The following two statements hold.

- If the maps  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \theta, \leq_2)$  is open and  $g: (Y, \theta, \leq_2) \to (Z, \nu, \leq_3)$  is *I*-supra (resp. i. D-supra, B-supra) pre-open, then a map gof is I-supra (resp. D-supra, B-supra) pre-open.
- If the maps  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \theta, \leq_2)$  is closed and  $g: (Y, \theta, \leq_2) \to (Z, \nu, \leq_3)$  is *I*-supra (resp. ii. D-supra, B-supra) pre-closed, then a map gof is I-supra (resp. D-supra, B-supra) pre-closed.

Proof. It is clear.

**Theorem 3.12.** If the maps gof is *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) pre-open and  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \theta, z)$  $\leq_2$ ) is surjective continuous, then a map  $g: (Y, \theta, \leq_2) \to (Z, \nu, \leq_3)$  is *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) pre-open.

Proof. Consider f is continuous and let G be an open subset of Y. Then  $f^{-1}(G)$  is an open subset of X. Since gof is *I*-supra pre-open and f is surjective, then  $gof(f^{-1}(G)) = g(G)$  is an *I*-supra pre-open subset of Z. Therefore *q* is *I*-supra *pre*-open.

A similar proof can be given for the cases between parentheses.

**Theorem 3.13.** If the maps  $gof: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Z, \nu, \leq_3)$  is closed and  $g: (Y, \theta, \leq_2) \to (Z, \nu, \leq_3)$  is injective *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) pre-continuous, then a map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \theta, \leq_2)$  is *D*supra (resp. I-supra, B-supra) pre-closed.

Proof. Consider g is I-supra pre-continuous and let G be a closed subset of X. Then gof(G) is a closed subset of Z. Since g is injective I-supra pre-continuous, then  $g^{-1}(gof(G)) = f(G)$  is a D-supra preclosed subset of Y. Therefore f is D-supra pre-closed.

A similar proof can be given for the cases between parentheses.

**Theorem 3.14.** We have the following results for a bijective map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \theta, \leq_2)$ :

- i. f is *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-open if and only if  $f^{-1}$  is *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-continuous.
- ii. *f* is *D*-supra (resp. *I*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-closed if and only if  $f^{-1}$  is *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-continuous.

Proof. We prove item i when f is B-supra pre-open, and the other cases follows similar lines.

" $\Rightarrow$ " Let f be a B-supra pre-open map and let G be an open subset of X. Then  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(G) = f(G)$  is a balancing suprapre-open subset of Y. Therefore  $f^{-1}$  is B-supra pre-continuous.

" $\leftarrow$ " Let G be an open subset of X and let  $f^{-1}$  be a B-supra pre-continuous map. Then f(G) = (f-1)-1G is a balancing supra pre-open subset of Y. Therefore f is B-supra pre-open. Similarly, one can prove item ii.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let a bijective map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  be *I*-supra *pre*-open (*D*-supra *pre*-closed) and order preserving. If  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  is lower  $T_1$ -ordered, then  $(Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  is lower SSp- $T_1$ -ordered. Proof. We prove the theorem when a map f be *I*-supra *pre*-open.

Let  $x, y \in Y$  such that  $x \leq_2 y$ . Since f is bijective, then there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a = f^{-1}(x)$ ,  $b = f^{-1}(y)$  and since f is an ordered preserving map, then  $a \leq_1 b$ . By hypothesis  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  is lower  $T_1$ -ordered, then there exists an increasing neighborhood W in X such that  $a \in W$  and  $b \notin W$ . Therefore there exists an open set G such that  $a \in G \subseteq W$ . Thus  $x \in f(G)$  which is an I-supra pre-open and  $y \notin f(G)$ . Hence  $(Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  is lower SSpre- $T_1$ -ordered.

The proof for a *D*-supra *pre*-closed map is achieved similarly.

**Theorem 3.16.** Let a bijective map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  be *D*-supra *pre*-open (*I*-supra *pre*-closed) and order *pre*serving. If  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  is upper  $T_1$ -ordered, then  $(Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  is upper SSp- $T_1$ -ordered. Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.15.

**Theorem 3.17.** Let a bijective map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  be *B*-supra *pre*-open (*B*-supra *pre*-closed) and order *pre*serving. If  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  is  $T_i$ -ordered, then  $(Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  is SS*pre*- $T_i$ -ordered, for i = 0, 1, 2. Proof. We prove the theorem when a map f is *B*-supra *pre*-open and i = 2.

For all  $x, y \in Y$  such that  $x \not\leq_2 y$ , there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a = f^{-1}(x)$ ,  $b = f^{-1}(y)$  and since f is an ordered *preserving* map, then  $a \not\leq_1 b$ . Since  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  is  $T_2$ -ordered, then there exist disjoint neighborhoods  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  of a and b, respectively, such that  $W_1$  is increasing and  $W_2$  is decreasing. Therefore there are disjoint open sets G and H such that  $a \in G \subseteq W_1$  and  $b \in H \subseteq W_2$ . Thus  $x \in f(G)$  which is a Bsupra *pre*-open,  $y \in f(H)$  which is a B-supra *pre*-open and  $f(G) \cap f(H) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  is  $SSp-T_2$ ordered.

In a similar way, we can prove the theorem in case of i = 0, 1.

The proof for a *B*-supra *pre*-closed map is achieved similarly.

**Theorem 3.18.** Consider a bijective map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  be supra *pre*-open such that f and  $f^{-1}$  are order preserving. If  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  is strong  $T_i$ -ordered, then  $(Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  is  $SSp-T_i$ -ordered, for i = 0,1,2.

Proof. We prove the theorem in case of i = 2. Let  $x, y \in Y$  such that  $x \leq_2 y$ . Then there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $a = f^{-1}(x)$ ,  $b = f^{-1}(y)$ . Since f is ordered *preserving*, then  $a \leq_1 b$ . Since  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  is strong  $T_2$ -ordered, then there exist disjoint an I-supra open set  $W_1$  and containing a and a D-supra open set  $W_2$  containing b. Since f is a bijective supra *pre*-open and  $f^{-1}$  is an order preserving, then  $f(W_1)$  is an I-supra open set containing x,  $f(W_2)$  is a D-supra open set containing y and  $f(W_1) \cap f(W_2) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $(Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  is  $SSp-T_2$ -ordered.

Similarly, one can prove the theorem in case of i = 0,1

**Theorem 3.19.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  be a bijective supra open map such that f and  $f^{-1}$  are order preserving. If  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  is strong  $T_i$ -ordered, then  $(Y, \mu, \leq_2)$  is  $SSp-T_i$ -ordered, for i = 0,1,2.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.18.

## 4. Supra pre-homeomorphism Maps in Supra Topological Ordered Spaces

The concepts of *I*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism, *D*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism and *B*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism maps are introduced and many of their properties are established. Some illustrative examples are provided.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\tau^*$  and  $\theta^*$  be associated supra topologies with  $\tau$  and  $\theta$ , respectively. A bijective map  $g: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \theta, \leq_2)$  is called *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre*-homeomorphism if it is *I*-supra *pre*-continuous and *I*-supra *pre*-open (resp. *D*-supra *pre*-continuous and *D*-supra *pre*-open, *B*-supra *pre*-continuous and *B*-supra *pre*-open).

## Remark 1.

- i. Every *I*-supra (resp. *D*-supra, *B*-supra) *pre* -homeomorphism map is supra *pre*-homeomorphism.
- ii. Every *B*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism map is *I*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism and *D*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism.

The following two examples illustrate that a supra *pre*-homeomorphism (resp. *D*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism) map need not be *I*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism or *D*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism or *B*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism (resp. *B*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism).

**Example 4.2.** Let the topology  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}\}$  on  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ , the supra topology associated with  $\tau$  be  $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and the partial order relation  $\leq_1 = \Delta \{(c, a), (c, b)\}$  on X. Let the topology  $\theta = \{\emptyset, Y, \{y, z\}\}$  on  $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ , the supra topology associated with  $\theta$  be  $\{\emptyset, Y, \{y\}, \{y, z\}\}$  and the partial order relation  $\leq_2 = \Delta \{(y, z)\}$  on Y. The map  $f: (X, \tau, \leq_1) \to (Y, \theta, \leq_2)$  is defined as follows f(a) = y, f(b) = z and f(c) = x. Now, the map f is supra *pre*-homeomorphism, but is not *x*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism, for  $x = \{I, D, B\}$ .

**Example 4.3.** We replace only the partial order relation  $\leq_1$  in Example 4.2 by  $\leq = \Delta \{(a, c)\}$ . Then the map *f* is *D*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism, but not *B*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism.



The relationships among the presented types of supra homeomorphism maps are illustrated in the following figure.

## Fig 3: The relationships among types of supra homeomorphism maps

**Theorem 4.4.** Let a map  $f: X \to Y$  be bijective *I*-supra *pre*-continuous. Then the following statements are equivalent:

Volume 12, Issue 3 available at <u>www.scitecresearch.com/journals/index.php/jprm</u>

- i. *f* is *I*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism;
- ii.  $f^{-1}$  is *I*-supra *pre*-continuous;
- iii. *f* is*D*-supra *pre*-closed.

Proof.i $\rightarrow$  ii: Let G be an open subset of X. By hypothesis, f is bijective, then  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(G) = f(G)$ . Since f is *I*-supra pre-continuous, then  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(G)$  an *I*-supra pre-open set in Y. Therefore  $f^{-1}$  is *I*-supra pre-continuous.

ii $\rightarrow$  iii: Let G be a closed subset of X. Then  $G^c$  is an open subset of X and  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(G^c) = f(G^c) = f(G)^c$  is an *I*-supra pre-open set in Y. Therefore f(G) is a *D*-supra pre-closed subset of Y. Thus f is *D*-supra pre-closed.

iii $\rightarrow$  i: Let G be an open subset of X. Then  $G^c$  is a closed set and  $f(G^c) = f(G)^c$  is D-supra pre-closed. Therefore f(G) is an *I*-supra pre-open subset of Y. Thus f is an *I*-supra pre-open map. This completes the proof.

In a similar way one can prove the following two theorems.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let a map  $f: X \to Y$  be bijective *D*-supra *pre*-continuous. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- i. *f* is *D*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism;
- ii.  $f^{-1}$  is *D*-supra *pre*-continuous;
- iii. *f* is*I*-supra *pre*-closed.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let a map  $f: X \to Y$  be bijective *B*-supra *pre*-continuous. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- i. *f* is *B*-supra *pre*-homeomorphism;
- ii.  $f^{-1}$  is *B*-supra *pre*-continuous;
- iii. *f* is*B*-supra *pre*-closed.

**Theorem 4.7.** Consider  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$ ,  $(Y, \theta, \leq_2)$  are two topological ordered spaces,  $\tau^*$  and  $\theta^*$  be associated supra topologies with  $\tau$  and  $\theta$ , respectively. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a supra *pre*-homeomorphism map such that f and  $f^{-1}$  are order preserving. If X(resp. Y) is strong  $T_i$ -ordered, then Y(resp. X) is  $SSp-T_i$ -ordered, for i = 0, 1, 2.

Proof. Let  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  be strong  $T_i$ -ordered, then by Theorem 3.18,  $(Y, \theta, \leq_2)$  is  $SSp-T_i$ -ordered, for i = 0, 1, 2.

Let  $(Y, \theta, \leq_2)$  be strong  $T_i$ -ordered, then by Theorem 2.15,  $(X, \tau, \leq_1)$  is  $SSp-T_i$ -ordered, for i = 0, 1, 2.

## 5. Conclusion

In the present paper, the concepts of increasing supra *pre*-continuous (supra *pre*-open, supra *pre*-closed, supra *pre*-homeomorphism) maps, decreasing supra *pre*-continuous (supra *pre*-open, supra *pre*-closed, supra *pre*-homeomorphism) maps and balancing supra *pre*-continuous (supra *pre*-open, supra *pre*-closed, supra *pre*-homeomorphism) maps are given and studied. The sufficient conditions for maps to preserve some separation axioms (which introduced in [8], [12] and [17]) are determined. In particular, we investigate the equivalent conditions for each concept and present their characterizations. Apart from that, we point out the relationships among them with the help of illustrative examples. We plan to use a notion of somewhere dense [5] to define various kinds of maps in topological ordered spaces. In the end, the presented concepts in this paper are fundamental background for studying several topics in supra topological ordered spaces.

## References

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., 12 (1983) 77-90.
- [2] M. Abo-elhamayel and T. M. Al-shami, Supra homeomorphism in supra topological ordered spaces, FactaUniversitatis, Series: Mathematics and Informatics, 31 (5) (2016) 1091-1106.

- [3] T. M. Al-shami, Some results related to supra topological spaces, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 7 (4) (2016) 283-294.
- [4] T. M. Al-shami, Utilizing supra  $\alpha$ -open sets to generate new types of supra compact and supra Lindelof spaces, FactaUniversitatis, Series: Mathematics and Informatics, 32 (1) (2017) 151-162.
- [5] T. M. Al-shami, Somewhere dense sets and ST<sub>1</sub>-spaces, Punjab University Journal of Mathematics, 49 (2) (2017) 101-111.
- [6] T. M. Al-shami, Supra  $\beta$ -bicontinuous maps via topological ordered spaces, Mathematical Sciences Letters, 6 (3) (2017) 239-247.
- [7] S. D. Arya and K. Gupta, New separation axioms in topological ordered spaces, Indian Journal Pure and Applied Mathematics, 22 (1991) 461-468.
- [8] P. Das, Separation axioms in ordered spaces, Soochow Journal of Mathematics, 30 (4) (2004) 447-454.
- [9] R. Devi, S. Sampathkumar and M. Caldas,  $On\alpha$ -open sets and  $\alpha$ -continuous maps, General Mathematics, 16(2008) 77-84.
- [10] M. E. El-Shafei, M. Abo-elhamayel and T. M. Al-shami, On supra R-open sets and some applications on topological spaces, Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics, 8 (2) (2016) 1237-1248.
- [11] M. E. El-Shafei, M. Abo-elhamayel and T. M. Al-shami, Generating ordered maps via supra topological ordered spaces, International Journal of Modern Mathematical Sciences, 15 (3) (2017) 339-357.
- [12] M. E. El-Shafei, M. Abo-elhamayel and T. M. Al-shami, Strong separation axioms in supra topological ordered spaces, Mathematical Sciences Letters, 6 (3) (2017) 271-277.
- [13] M. K. R. S. V. Kumar, Homeomorphism in topological ordered spaces, ActaCiencia Indian, XXVIII(M)(1)(2012) 67-76.
- [14] D. S. Leela and G. Balasubramanian, New separation axioms in ordered topological spaces, Indian Journal Pure and Applied Mathematics, 33 (2002) 1011-1016.
- [15] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, American Mathematical Society, 70 (1963) 36-41.
- [16] A. S. Mashhour, A. A. Allam, F. S. Mahmoud and F. H. Khedr, On supra topological spaces, Indian Journal Pure and Applied Mathematics, 14 (4) (1983) 502-510.
- [17] S. D. McCartan, Separation axioms for topological ordered spaces, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 64 (1986) 965-973.
- [18] L. Nachbin, Topology and ordered, D. Van Nostrand Inc. Princeton, New Jersey, (1965).
- [19] K. K. Rao and R. Chudamani, *pre*-homeomorphism in topological ordered spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Technology and Humanities, 52 (2012) 541-560.
- [20] O. R. Sayed, Supra *pre*-open sets and supra *pre*-continuous on topological spaces, Series Mathematics and Information, 20 (2010) 79-88.

## Author' Biography



T. M. Al-shami is a Ph.D student in Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt. He received his B.SC. degree in mathematics in 2009 from Sana'a university, Yemen and M.SC. degree in Mathematics in 2015 from Mansoura university, Egypt. He is an assistant professor in Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Sana'a University, Yemen. His researches interested are: General topology, Ordered topology, Soft topology, Theory of rough sets and digital topology. In these areas he has published many papers in refereed international journals or

conference proceedings.