



Some q-Hypergeometric representations of the multiple Hurwitz zeta function

Fadhle B.F.Mohsen and Fadhil S.N. Alsarahi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Aden University, Aden, Yemen.

Abstract

In this paper, The main object to give some new representations of the q-analogue of the multiple Hurwitz zeta function are derived.

Keywords: Multiple Hurwitz zeta function; q-Hypergeometric series; q-shifted factorial and special function.

1. Introduction, definitions and notations

The Hurwitz or generalized zeta function at integer points[13]

$$\zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+a)^s}, \quad 0 < a \leq 1, \quad (1.1)$$

has a q-analogue defined by

$$\zeta_q(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+a)(s-1)}}{[n+a]_q^s}, \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad 0 < a \leq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The series (1.2) is convergent for $\text{Re } s > 1$.

In [14] the q-analogue of Hurwitz zeta function is defined as

$$\zeta_q(s, a) = q^{a(s-1)} \{a\}_q^{-s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{-n} \left[\frac{\langle a; q \rangle_n}{\langle a+1; q \rangle_n} q^n \right]^s. \quad (1.3)$$

Barnes [4] (see also[1,2,3]) introduced and studied the generalized multiple Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta_n(s, a/w_1, \dots, w_n)$ defined, for $R(s) > n$, by the following series:

$$\zeta_n(s, a/w_1, \dots, w_n) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a + \Omega)^s} \quad (R(s)) > n ; n \in N , \quad (1.4)$$

where N denotes the set of positive integers $\Omega = k_1 w_1 + \dots + k_n w_n$.

Barnes-Changhee multiple q- zeta functions are defined by (see[9],[10]).

$$\zeta_{q,n}(s, w/a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{w+n_1+n_2+\dots+n_r}}{(w + n_1 a_1 + n_2 a_2 + \dots + n_r a_r)^s} \quad (1.5)$$

$R(w) > 0$, $q \in C$ with $|q| < 1$, which , for $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_r = 1$, yields

$$\zeta_{q,n}(s, w/1, 1, \dots, 1) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{w+n_1+n_2+\dots+n_r}}{(w + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r)^s}$$

Moreover, if $w = r$ and $s = 1 - n$ ($n \in Z^+$), we have

$$\zeta_{q,n}(n-1, r/1, 1, \dots, 1) = (-1)^r \frac{(n-1)!}{(n+r-1)!} B_{n+r-1}^{(r)}(r; q),$$

where $B_{n+r-1}^{(r)}(r; q)$ is called q-Bernoulli numbers.

We also note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \zeta_{q,n}(n-1, r/1, 1, \dots, 1) = \zeta_n(n-1, r/1, 1, \dots, 1) = (-1)^r \frac{(n-1)!}{(n+r-1)!} B_{n+r-1}^{(r)}(r)$$

(see[9],[10]).

Where the q-number $[z]_q$ is defined through

$$[z]_q = \frac{1 - q^z}{1 - q} , z \in C , q \neq 1. \quad (1.6)$$

A special case of (1.6) when $z \in N$ is $[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i , n \in N$

Which is called the q-analogue of $n \in N$, since

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} [n]_q = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i = n.$$

The Pochhammer symbol $(\cdot)_k$, also called the shifted factorial, defined by

$$(z)_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (z+j), k \geq 1, (z)_0 = 1, (-z)_k = 0, \text{ if } z < k,$$

which in terms of the Gamma function is given by

$$(z)_k = \frac{\Gamma(z+k)}{\Gamma z}, k = 0,1,2,3,\dots, z \neq 0,-1,-2,\dots$$

And ${}_r F_s$ denoted the ordinary hypergeometric series ([4],[11]) with variable z is defined by

$${}_r F_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} / z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r)_k}{(b_1, \dots, b_s)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad (1.7)$$

being $(a_1, \dots, a_r)_k = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_k$, with $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^r$ and $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^s$ complex numbers subject to the condition that $b_j \neq -n$ with $n \in N - \{0\}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, s$.

Here we will give some usual definitions and notations used in q-calculus, i.e. the q-analogues of the usual calculus.

Let the q-analogues of Pochhammer symbol or q-shifted factorial be defined by [5,7]

$$\langle a; q \rangle_n = \begin{cases} 1 & , n = 0 \\ \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^{a+m}) & , n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

The relation to Watson's notation, which is also included in the method, is $\langle a; q \rangle_n = (q^a; q)_n$ where

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & , n = 0 \\ \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^m) & , n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

and
$$\langle -n; q \rangle_k = \begin{cases} 0 & k > n \\ \frac{\langle 1; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{n-k}} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2} - nk} & k \leq n \end{cases}, \quad (1.9)$$

also
$$\langle a; q \rangle_{n+k} = \langle a; q \rangle_n \langle aq^n; q \rangle_k \quad (1.10)$$

and
$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{(q^z; q)_k}{(1-q)^k} = (z)_k.$$

The q-binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}} = \frac{\langle 1; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_{n-k}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.11)$$

and for complex z is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} z \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^{-z}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} (-1)^k q^{zk - \binom{k}{2}}; \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.12)$$

Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^r$ and $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^s$ complex numbers subject to the condition that $b_j \neq q^{-n}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, s$.

Then the basic hypergeometric or q-hypergeometric ${}_r\phi_s$ series with variable z is defined by

$${}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} / q; z \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_k}{(b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_k} (-1)^{(1+s-r)k} q^{(1+s-r)\binom{k}{2}} \frac{z^k}{(q; q)_k},$$

where $(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_k = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} (a_j; q)_k$

In addition, for brevity, let us denote by

$$\left[{}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} / q; z \right) \right]^n = {}_r\phi_s^n \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} / q; z \right), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.13)$$

Analogously to the ordinary hypergeometric ${}_{s+1}F_s$ series, the q-hypergeometric ${}_{s+1}\phi_s$ series is called k-balanced if $b_1 b_2 \dots b_s = q^k a_1 a_2 \dots a_{s+1}$.

The q-hypergeometric ${}_r\phi_s$ series is a q-analogue of the ordinary hypergeometric ${}_rF_s$ series defined by

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} {}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} q^{a_1}, \dots, q^{a_r} \\ q^{b_1}, \dots, q^{b_s} \end{matrix} / q; z(q-1)^{1+s-r} \right) = {}_rF_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} / z \right).$$

Taking into account the following relations [14,p.18,19]

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, b \\ c \end{matrix} / q; q^{n+c-b} \right] = \frac{\langle c-b; q \rangle_n}{\langle c; q \rangle_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.14)$$

where $\langle -n; q \rangle_k = 0$, whenever $n < k$,

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1; q \rangle_n} &= {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, 1-a \\ 1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{n+a} \right] = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\langle -n; q \rangle_k \langle 1-a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_k} q^{k(n+a)} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \frac{\langle -n; q \rangle_k \langle 1-a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_k} q^{k(n+a)} \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle 1; q \rangle_n}{\langle a+1; q \rangle_n} &= {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, a \\ a+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{n+1} \right] = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\langle -n; q \rangle_k \langle a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle a+1; q \rangle_k} q^{k(n+1)} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \frac{\langle -n; q \rangle_k \langle a; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle a+1; q \rangle_k} q^{k(n+1)} \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

In [14] the following two relations are given by

$$\langle a; q \rangle_n = \langle a; q \rangle_{n+1} + q^{n+a} \langle a; q \rangle_n \quad (1.17)$$

$$\langle a; q \rangle_n = \langle 1; q \rangle_n \sum_{k=0}^n q^{(a+1)k} \frac{\langle -1; q \rangle_k \langle a+1; q \rangle_{n-k}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_{n-k}}. \quad (1.18)$$

2. Main Results

In this section we establish some representations for the q-analogue of the multiple Hurwitz Zeta function which defined by

$$\zeta_n(s, w; q) = \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{q^{(w+r_1+\dots+r_n)(s-1)}}{\{w+r_1+\dots+r_n\}_q^s}, \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad 0 < w \leq 1 \quad . \quad (2.1)$$

where $\{z\}_q$ denotes a q-analogue of a complex number defined by

$$\{z\}_q = \frac{1 - q^z}{1 - q}, \quad q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$$

The series (2.1) we can be rewritten as

$$\zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^s}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r}^s} q^{(r_1+\dots+r)(s-1)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem

Let s be an integer number, with $s > 1$, $|q| < 1$ and $0 < w \leq 1$. Then the q-analogue of the multiple Hurwitz zeta function (1.3), admits the following representations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i. } \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-)^k q^{\binom{k}{2} + wk} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 2w \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{1-w+k} \right] {}_2\phi_1^{s-1} \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 1 \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q \right] \\ & {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} k+1, k+1, k+w, \dots, k+w \\ k+w+1, \dots, k+w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{s-1} \right] {}_{s+2}\phi_{s+1} \left[\begin{matrix} 1, k+1+r_1, k+1+r_1, k+w, \dots, k+w \\ 1+r_1, k+w+1, \dots, k+w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{s-1} \right] \\ & \dots {}_{s+2}\phi_{s+1} \left[\begin{matrix} 1, k+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, k+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, k+w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, k+w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \\ 1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, k+w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, k+w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \end{matrix} / q; q^{s-1} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii. } \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-)^k q^{\binom{k}{2} + wk} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 2w \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{1-w+k} \right] {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 1-w \\ 1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{w+k} \right] \\ & {}_s\phi_{s-1} \left[\begin{matrix} k+w, \dots, k+w \\ k+w+1, \dots, k+w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{s-1} \right] {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} 1, k+w, \dots, k+w \\ 1+r_1, k+w+1, \dots, k+w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{s-1} \right] \\ & \dots {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} 1, k+w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, k+w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \\ 1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, k+w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, k+w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \end{matrix} / q; q^{s-1} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{iii. } \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{(w+1)k} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -k, w+2 \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{k-1} \right] {}_2\phi_1^{s-1} \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 1 \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q \right] \\
 & {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} k+1, w+1, k+w, \dots, k+w \\ k+w+1, \dots, k+w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{s-1} \right] {}_{s+2}\phi_{s+1} \left[\begin{matrix} 1, k+1+r_1, k+1+r_1, k+w+r_1, \dots, k+w+r_1 \\ 1+r_1, k+w+1+r_1, \dots, k+w+1+r_1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{s-1} \right] \\
 & \dots {}_{s+2}\phi_{s+1} \left[\begin{matrix} 1, k+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, k+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, k+w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, k+w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \\ 1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, k+w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, k+w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \end{matrix} / q; q^{s-1} \right], \tag{2.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{iv. } \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= (1-q^w) q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} {}_s\phi_{s-1} \left[\begin{matrix} 1, w, \dots, w \\ w+1, \dots, w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^s \right] \\
 & {}_s\phi_{s-1} \left[\begin{matrix} 1, w+r_1, \dots, w+r_1 \\ w+1+r_1, \dots, w+1+r_1 \end{matrix} / q; q^s \right] \dots {}_s\phi_{s-1} \left[\begin{matrix} 1, w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \\ w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \end{matrix} / q; q^s \right] \\
 & + q^{ws} \{w\}_q^{-s} {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} 1, w, \dots, w \\ w+1, \dots, w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^s \right] \dots {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} 1, w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \\ w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}, \dots, w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1} \end{matrix} / q; q^s \right]. \tag{2.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

From (2.2) and using relation (1.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^{s-1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r}^s} q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n)(s-1)} \\
 & \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq r_1, \dots, r_n} \frac{\langle -(r_1+\dots+r_n); q \rangle_k \langle 1-w; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_k} q^{k((r_1+\dots+r_n)+w)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (1,9) with replacing n by $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n+k}^{s-1} \langle 1-w; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n+k} \langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n+k}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n+k}^s \langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}} \\
 & \times (-q^w)^k q^{\binom{k}{2} + (r_1+\dots+r_n+k)(s-1)} \\
 & = q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2} + wk} \frac{\langle 1-w; q \rangle_k}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_k} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_k^{s-1}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_k^{s-1}} q^{k(s-1)} \\
 & \times \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle 1+k; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n} \langle 1+k; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n} \langle k+w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^{s-1}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n} \langle k+w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^s} q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n)(s-1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

By using relation (1.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2} + wk} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 2w \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{1-w+k} \right] {}_2\phi_1^{s-1} \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 1 \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q \right] \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{r_1 \geq 0} \frac{\langle 1+k; q \rangle_{r_1} \langle 1+k; q \rangle_{r_1} \langle k+w; q \rangle_{r_1}^{s-1}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1} \langle k+w+1; q \rangle_{r_1}^s} q^{r_1(s-1)} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\langle 1+k+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2} \langle 1+k+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2} \langle k+w+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^{s-1}}{\langle 1+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2} \langle k+w+1+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^s} q^{r_2(s-1)} \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle 1+k+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n} \langle 1+k+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n} \langle k+w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^{s-1}}{\langle 1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n} \langle k+w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^s} q^{r_n(s-1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is required (2.3).

Similarly, From (2.2) and the relation (1.16) with replacing n by $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^s q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n)(s-1)}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^{s-1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{0 \leq k \leq r_1, \dots, r_n} \frac{\langle -(r_1+\dots+r_n); q \rangle_k \langle w; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle w+1; q \rangle_k} q^{k(r_1+\dots+r_n+1)} \\
 \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_k \langle w; q \rangle_k \langle w; q \rangle_k^{s-1}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle w+1; q \rangle_k^{s-1}} q^{k(s-1)} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle k+w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^s}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n} \langle k+w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^{s-1}} q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n+k)(s-1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Which by using relation (1.14), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \\ &\times \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 1 \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{k+w} \right] {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 1-w \\ 1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{k+w} \right] {}_2\phi_1^{s-1} \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 1 \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q \right] \\ &\times \sum_{r_1 \geq 0} \frac{\langle k+w; q \rangle_{r_1}^s}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1} \langle k+w+1; q \rangle_{r_1}^{s-1}} q^{r_1(s-1)} \sum_{r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\langle k+w+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^s}{\langle 1+r_1; q \rangle_{r_1} \langle k+w+1+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^{s-1}} q^{r_2(s-1)} \\ &\vdots \\ &\times \sum_{r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle k+w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^s}{\langle 1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n} \langle k+w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^{s-1}} q^{r_n(s-1)} \end{aligned}$$

which is required (2.4).

Now, by using expression (1.18) in (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n+k}^{s-1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n+k}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n+k}^s \langle 1; q \rangle_k} \\ &\times (q)^{(w+1)k} \frac{\langle -1; q \rangle_k \langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}} q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n+k)(s-1)} \end{aligned}$$

By using expression (1.10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k \geq 0} (q)^{(w+1)k} \frac{\langle -1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle w; q \rangle_k^{s-1}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_k \langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle w+1; q \rangle_k^{s-1}} q^{k(s-1)} \\ &\times \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle 1+k; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n} \langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n} \langle w+k; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^{s-1}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n} \langle w+1+k; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^s} q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n)(s-1)} \end{aligned}$$

By using relation (1.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{k \geq 0} (q)^{(w+1)k} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -k, w+2 \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q^{k-1} \right] {}_2\phi_1^{s-1} \left[\begin{matrix} -k, 1 \\ w+1 \end{matrix} / q; q \right] \\ &\times \sum_{r_1 \geq 0} \frac{\langle 1+k; q \rangle_{r_1} \langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1} \langle w+k; q \rangle_{r_1}^{s-1}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{r_1} \langle w+1+k; q \rangle_{r_1}^s} q^{r_1(s-1)} \\ &\times \sum_{r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\langle 1+k+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2} \langle w+1+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2} \langle w+k+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^{s-1}}{\langle 1+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2} \langle w+1+k+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^s} q^{(r_2)(s-1)} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \sum_{r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle 1+k+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n} \langle w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n} \langle w+k+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^{s-1}}{\langle 1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n} \langle w+1+k+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^s} q^{(r_n)(s-1)}$$

which is required (2.5).

Finally, using relation (1.17) in (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^{s-1} \langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n+1}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^{s-1} \langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}} q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n)(s-1)} \\ &\quad + q^{ws} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^s}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^s} q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n)s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{since } \langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n} = \frac{1-q^{r_1+\dots+r_n+w}}{1-q^w} \langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}$$

$$\text{and } \langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n+1} = \langle 1-q^{r_1+\dots+r_n+w} \rangle \langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= (1-q^w) q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^{s-1}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^{s-1}} q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n)(s-1)} \\ &\quad + q^{ws} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^s}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1+\dots+r_n}^s} q^{(r_1+\dots+r_n)s} \end{aligned}$$

By using relation (1.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(s, w; q) &= (1-q^w) q^{w(s-1)} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{r_1 \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1}^{s-1}}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1}^{s-1}} q^{(r_1)(s-1)} \sum_{r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\langle w+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^{s-1}}{\langle w+1+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^{s-1}} q^{(r_2)(s-1)} \\ &\quad \dots \sum_{r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^{s-1}}{\langle w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^{s-1}} q^{(r_n)(s-1)} \\ &\quad + q^{ws} \{w\}_q^{-s} \sum_{r_1 \geq 0} \frac{\langle w; q \rangle_{r_1}^s}{\langle w+1; q \rangle_{r_1}^s} q^{(r_1)s} \sum_{r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\langle w+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^s}{\langle w+1+r_1; q \rangle_{r_2}^s} q^{(r_2)s} \\ &\quad \dots \sum_{r_n \geq 0} \frac{\langle w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^s}{\langle w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}; q \rangle_{r_n}^s} q^{(r_n)s} \end{aligned}$$

which is required (2.6).

References.

- [1] Barnes, E.W., The theory of the G-function, *Quart.J.Math*,31(1899), 264-314.
- [2] Barnes, E.W., Genesis of the double Gamma function, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (sec.1), 31(1900), 358-381.
- [3] Barnes, E.W., The theory of the double Gamma function, *Philes. Trans. Roy. Sec. London ser.A*, 196(1901),265-388.
- [4] Barnes, E.W., The theory of the multiple Gamma functions, *Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 19(1904),374-439.
- [5] Ernst, T., A new method for q-hypergeometric series and a new q-Taylor formula. Uppsala. (2000).
- [6] Ernst, T., A method for q-calculus, *J. of Nonlinear mathematical physics*, Vol. 10, No.4, pp487-525, 2003.
- [7] Ernst, T., q-calculus as operational algebra, *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of sciences* vol. 58, No.2, pp73-97, 2009.
- [8] Gasper,G. and Rahman, M., *Basic hypergeometric series*, Cambridge university Press, 2004.
- [9] Kim, T., Non-archimedean q-integrals associated with multiple Chunghee q-Bernoulli polynomials, *Bass. J. Math phys.*, 10(2003) 91-98.
- [10] Kim, T., Analytic continuation of multiple q-zeta functions and their values at negative integers, *Bass. J. Math phys.*, 11(2004) 71-76.
- [11] Koekoek, R. and Swarttouw, R.F., The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue, Report 98-17, Faculty of Technical mathematics and informatics, Delft university, 1998.
- [12] Michitomo, N., Generalization of Gamma function and its applications to q-analysis.
- [13] Soria-Lorente,A., Cumbre-Gonzalez,B., q-hypergeometric representations of the q-analogue of zeta function, *Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications*, Vol. 5(2), July 2014, pp.1-8.
- [14] Soria-Lorente,A., Some q-representations of the q-analogue of Hurwitz zeta function, *LecturasMatema'ticas*, Vol. 36(1), 2015, pp.12-22.